Event Based Models for Disease Progression

Hongtao Hao & Joseph Austerweil

2024-10-13

Table of contents

1 Introduction

You can also read the material in [PDF](#page-0-0).

The Event-Based Model (EBM; Fonteijn et al. (2012)) is a probabilistic model that can be used to infer the order by which a disease affects the parts of a person's body. In other words, it allows us to estimate the stages by which different biological factors ("biomarkers") are affected by a disease.

For instance, Alzheimer's may have the following stages:

Figure 1.1: Alzheimer's Disease Progression (Credit: https://preventad.com/alzheimersdisease/)

We estimate this order based on the biomarker data from patients' visits. These data are typically results of neuropsych (e.g., MMSE) and/or biological examinations (e.g., blood pressure). Visits data can be longitudinal and/or cross-sectional, i.e., single visits from a cohort of patients.

Knowing the disease progression is important because it helps prevent and hopefully cure the disease (e.g., to identify critical points for intervention). It also helps health professionals prepare for the disease's further developments.

The EBM has been especially helpful at providing converging support for the stages of neural deterioration of neural degenerative diseases. Neural diseases are notoriously complex for many reasons. For instance, they are difficult to study in vivo due to the challenge of direct and accurate measurement of the brain at high resolution without harming the person.

By formulating the deterioration process as a probabilistic model, the EBM affords the ability to conduct complex reasoning from noisy patient data. Given the difficulty of this task, it is unclear how much (number of participants) and what kind of data (healthy percentage) is needed for reliable estimation and how to best understand the uncertainty of model estimates.

Although prior work (e.g., Fonteijn et al. (2012), Chen et al. (2016)) has not addressed the former question in great detail, it has derived uncertainty of its estimates indirectly using bootstrapping. In this monograph, we analyze the statistical consistency of different inference methods for the EBM model. To foreshadow, our results are reassuring, yet troubling, and promising. Inference methods used in prior work work well when there is a large number of participants $({}_{500})$ and the percentage of healthy participants is near 50%. However, clinical studies with such large sample sizes are uncommon and so, in typical settings, the prior approximation methods may be unreliable. We test our own method using Markov chain Monte Carlo techniques and find it provides much more accurate estimates for small sample sizes that are robust across different percentages of healthy participants. The results of our analyses come with a caveat: they are based on simulated data. We discuss this caveat, other limitations, and how to interpret our results in the monograph.

We have several assumptions in EBM:

Figure 1.2: Assumptions of EBM

- The disease is irreversible (i.e., a patient cannot go from stage 2 to stage 1)
- The order in which different biomarkers get affected by the disease is the same across all patients.
- Biomarker data can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution.

i Pay Attention

The third assumption, i.e., Gaussian approximation, often will be violated in raw biomarker data. For example, measurements of the concentration of amyloid proteins associated with Alzheimer's disease are necessarily non-negative. Further, their resolution has changed over the decades.

However, for the purpose of our current method, we assume this is true. There are nonparametric versions of the EBM, for example, [KDE EBM](https://github.com/ucl-pond/kde_ebm)

This book contains chapters that explain step by step how we use the event-based model to estimate the order of disease progression based on cross-sectional patients' biomarker data.

2 EBM Explained

2.1 Overview of Event-Based Model (EBM)

EBM provides a statistical model to understand disease progression through biomarkers. Using EBM, we can estimate the likelihood of biomarker measurements or generate synthetic data of biomarker measurements.

EBM can be used in two main ways:

- 1. Calculate the likelihood of biomarker measurements
- 2. Generate biomarker measurements

2.2 Key Concepts

Suppose the order in which a disease affects biomarkers is S. For example, $S =$ ['biomarker1', 'biomarker3', 'biomarker2'].

We also suppose biomarker measurements follow Gaussian distributions. When a biomarker is affected by the disease, its distribution parameters (mean and standard deviation) are denoted by θ . If not affected, ϕ .

The disease stage of a participant is k_j . To simplify things, let us assume the total number of disease stages is equal to the number of biomarkers.

2.3 Calculate the Likelihood of Biomarker Measurements

Suppose we have one participant's measurement data of five biomarkers:

Now, the question is:

What is the likelihood of this participant having this sequence of biomarker data, given that we know S, θ, ϕ .

	participant	biomarker	measurement	k i	S_n	affected_or_not	Diseased
0	67	HIP-FCI	22.478591	2		affected	True
1	67	HIP-GMI	-5.102497	$\overline{2}$	3	not_affected	True
$\overline{2}$	67	FUS-FCI	322.304772	2	5	not_affected	True
З	67	PCC-FCI	-5.690280	2	2	affected	True
4	67	FUS-GMI	6.345347	2	4	not_affected	True

Figure 2.1: Sample Data

As defined above, S is the order in which different biomarkers get affected by the disease. It is the column of S_n in the above data.

 θ for each biomarker is the μ and α of normal distribution of biomarker measurement when the biomarker is affected by the disease.

 ϕ for each biomarker is the μ and α of normal distribution of biomarker measurement when the biomarker is **NOT** affected by the disease.

The column of participant is simply this participant's identification in the data.

The column of affected_or_not refers to whether a biomarker is affected by the disease. It is affected if $k_j \geq S_n$; otherwise, not affected. This column is not available if we do not have access to the column of k_j , which stands for this participant's disease stage.

The column of Diseased refers to whether this participant is healthy (i.e., $k_j = 0$) or diseased $(i.e., k_j \geq 1).$

In the following, we explain how to calculate this likelihood in two scenarios: (1) known k_i and (2) unknown k_j .

2.3.1 Known

$$
p(X_j|S, z_j = 1, k_j) = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{k_j} p(X_{S(i)j} | \theta_{S(i)})}_{\text{Affected biomarker likelihood}} \underbrace{\prod_{i=k_j+1}^{N} p(X_{S(i)j} | \phi_{S(i)})}_{\text{Non-affected biomarker likelihood}}
$$
(2.1)

This equation computes the likelihood of the observed biomarker data of a specific participant, given that we know the disease stage this patient is at (k_j) .

• *S* is an **ordered array** of biomarkers that are affected by the disease, for example, $[b, a, d, c]$. This means that biomarker *b* is affected at stage 1. At stage 2, biomarker *b* and *a* will be affected.

- $S(i)$ is the i^{th} biomarker according to S. For example S_1 will be biomarker b.
- k_j indicates the stage the patient is at, for example, $k_j = 2$. This means that the disease has affected biomarkers a and b . Biomarker c and d have not been affected yet.
- $\theta_{S(i)}$ is the parameters for the probability density function (PDF) of observed value of biomarker $S(i)$ when this biomarker has been affected by the disease. Let's assume this distribution is a Gaussian distribution with means of [45, 50, 55, 60] and a standard deviation of 5 for biomarker b, a, d , and c .
- $\phi_{S(i)}$ is the parameters for the probability density function (PDF) of observed value of biomarker $S(i)$ when this biomarker has **NOT** been affected by the disease. Let's assume this distribution is a Gaussian distribution with means of [25, 30, 35, 40] and a standard deviation of 3 for biomarker b, a, d , and c .
- X_j is an array representing the patient's observed data for all biomarkers. Assume the data is $[77, 45, 53, 90]$ for biomarkers b, a, d, and c.

We assume that the patient is at stage 2 of this disease; hence $k_j = 2$.

Next, we are going to calculate $p(X_j|S, z_j = 1, k_j)$:

When $i = 1$, we have $S_{(i)} = b$ and $X_{S_{(i)}} = X_b = 45$. So

$$
p(X_{S_{(i)}} | \theta_{S(i)}) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{X_b - \mu}{\sigma} \right)^2}
$$

Because $k_j = 2$, so biomarker *b* and *a* are affected. We should use the distribution of θ_b ; therefore, we should plug in $\mu = 45, \sigma = 5$ in the above equation.

We can do the same for $i = 2, 3$, and 4.

So

$$
p(X_j|S,k_j=2)=p(X_b|\theta_b)\times p(X_a|\theta_a)\times p(X_d|\phi_d)\times p(X_c|\phi_c)
$$

The above is the likelihood of the given biomarker data when $k_i = 2$.

Note that $p(X_b|\theta_b)$ is probability density, a value of a probability density function at a specific point; so it is not a probability itself.

Multiplying multiple probability densities will give us a likelihood.

2.3.2 Unknown

$$
P(X_j|S) = \sum_{k_j=0}^{N} P(k_j) p(X_j | S, k_j)
$$
\n(2.2)

Suppose we have the same information above, except that we do not know at which disease stage the patient is, i.e., we do not know k_j . We have the observed biomarker data: $X_j =$ [77, 45, 53, 90]. And I wonder: what is the likelihood of seeing this specific observed data?

We assume that all five stages (including $k_i = 0$) are equally likely.

We do not know k_j , so the best option is to calculate the "average" likelihood of all the biomarker data.

Based on Equation [2.1,](#page-7-1) we can calculate the following:

$$
L_1 = p(X_j|S, k_j = 1)
$$

\n
$$
L_2 = p(X_j|S, k_j = 2)
$$

\n
$$
L_3 = p(X_j|S, k_j = 3)
$$

\n
$$
L_4 = p(X_j|S, k_j = 4)
$$

If this participant is healthy, then we know $k_j = 0$, therefore:

$$
L = L_0 = p(X_j|S,k_j=0) = p(X_b|\phi_b) \times p(X_a|\phi_a) \times p(X_d|\phi_d) \times p(X_c|\phi_c)
$$

If this participant is diseased but we do not know the actual k_j , we can estimate it this way

$$
\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle L_1=p(X_j|S,k_j=1)=p(X_b|\theta_b)\times p(X_a|\phi_a)\times p(X_d|\phi_d)\times p(X_c|\phi_c) \\\\ \displaystyle L_2=p(X_j|S,k_j=2)=p(X_b|\theta_b)\times p(X_a|\theta_a)\times p(X_d|\phi_d)\times p(X_c|\phi_c) \\\\ \displaystyle L_3=p(X_j|S,k_j=3)=p(X_b|\theta_b)\times p(X_a|\theta_a)\times p(X_d|\theta_d)\times p(X_c|\phi_c) \\\\ \displaystyle L_4=p(X_j|S,k_j=4)=p(X_b|\theta_b)\times p(X_a|\theta_a)\times p(X_d|\theta_d)\times p(X_c|\theta_c) \end{array}
$$

 $P(k_j)$ is the prior likelihood of being at stage k. **Event based models assume a uniform prior on** k_j . Therefore:

$$
P(X_j|z_j=1,S)=\tfrac{1}{4}\left(L_1+L_2+L_3+L_4\right)
$$

Tip

When this participant is diseased but we do not know the actual stage of this participant, the above method is useful also because it hints at the relative likelihood of each possible stage. For example, if L2 is much larger than L1, L3, and L4, then we know this participant is most likely to be at stage 2.

2.3.3 Extension

If we are more interested in the likelihood of a whole dataset consisting of all participants, we multiply all participants' likelihood: $L = L_{P_1} \times L_{P_2} \times L_{P_3} ... \times L_{P_j}$. Because this number tends to be very large, we take the natural log of L , i.e., $\ln(L)$.

2.4 EBM as A Generative Model

We can use EBM to generate synthetic biomarker data if we know:

- The order (S) in which different biomarkers get affected by the disease.
- Parameters (i.e., mean and standard deviation) of biomarkers' distribution when they are affected (θ) and not affected (ϕ) by the disease.
- Stages (k_j) that each participant is in.

Data we can generate looks like Figure [2.1.](#page-7-2)

This data is from a single participant.

As we mentioned above, to generate this data, we need to know:

- S , i.e., the order of biomarkers. In the above example, S is HIP-FCI, PCC-FCI, HIP-GMI, FUS-GMI, FUS-FCI.
- $\mathcal{N}(\theta_\mu, \theta_\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\phi_\mu, \phi_\sigma)$ for each of the five biomarkers, which are known but not shown directly here in the dataset.
- k_j , which is 2 in the above example.

We explain how this data is constructed in the following, column by column.

First, the participant id is 67. The biomarker indicates each of the five biomarkers examined and measured. The measurement is the biomarkers' measurement. k_{j} is the participant's stage. If this stage is above 0, it means Diseased = True. S_n indicates the *n*-th rank in the order. If k_j < S_n, it means the participant's stage hasn't reached that biomarker's rank; therefore, this biomarker is not affected. If $k_j \geq S_n$, then this biomarker is affected.

If a biomarker is $\texttt{affected},$ then its measurement comes from $\mathcal{N}(\theta_\mu, \theta_\sigma)$ of that biomarker; if $\mathtt{not_affected},\, \mathcal{N}(\phi_\mu,\phi_\sigma).$

2.4.1 Generative Process

The generative process of biomarker measurements can be described as:

$$
X_{nj} | S, k_j, \theta_n, \phi_n \sim I(z_j = 1) \left[I(S(n) \le k_j) p(X_{nj} | \theta_n) + I(S(n) > k_j) p(X_{nj} | \phi_n) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ (1 - I(z_j = 1)) p(X_{nj} | \phi_n)
$$
\n(2.3)

This model says that given that we know S, k_j, θ_n , and ϕ_n , we can draw the biomarker measurement from a distribution.

 $S \sim$ UniformPermutation(⋅)

 follows a distribution of uniform permutation. That means the ordering of biomarkers is random.

 $k_i \sim \text{DiscreteUniform}(N)$

 k_j follows a discrete uniform distribution, which means a participant is equally likely to fall in a progression stage (e.g., from 0 to 5, where 0 indicates this participant is healthy.)

2.4.2 Graphical Explanation

In the following, we explain the generative model in three different scenarios using [graphical](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graphical_model) [models:](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Graphical_model) (1) All participants are healthy; (2) Both healthy and diseased participants, but all biomarkers are affected among diseased people; (3) Both healthy and diseased participants, but we do not whether biomarkers are affected or not among patients.

2.4.2.1 Scenario 1

If all participants are healthy:

$$
X_{nj} \sim p(X_{nj} \mid \phi_n) \tag{2.4}
$$

Where

 X_{ni} indicates the measurement of biomarker *n* in participant *j*.

 ϕ_n represents $\mathcal{N}(\phi_\mu, \phi_\sigma)$ for biomarker *n*. The graphical model would look like:

X_nj: measurement of biomarker n in participant j

Phi_n: parameters for biomarker n when not affected.

Figure 2.2: Graphical Model of Scenario 1

2.4.2.2 Scenario 2

If we have both diseased and healthy participants, and all biomarkers are affected among deceased participants.

$$
X_{nj} \sim I(z_j == 1)p(X_{nj} | \theta_n) + (1 - I(z_j == 1))p(X_{nj} | \phi_n)
$$
\n(2.5)

Where:

 $z_j = 1$ indicates this participant is diseased and $z_j = 1$ represents a healthy participant.

 $I(True) = 1$ and $I(False) = 0$.

 θ_n represents $\mathcal{N}(\theta_\mu, \theta_\sigma)$ for biomarker *n*.

The graphical model would look like:

2.4.2.3 Scenario 3

If we have both healthy and diseased participants, but we do not know whether biomarkers are affected or not among patients, see Equation [2.3](#page-11-2).

This is the model in usual cases.

The graphical model looks like:

Theta_n: parameters for biomarker n when affected.

 z_j : diseased $(z_j = 1)$ or healthy $(z_j = 0)$ participant.

 $I(true) = 1; I(false) = 0$

Figure 2.3: Graphical Model of Scenario 2

Figure 2.4: Graphical Model of Scenario 3

3 Generate Synthetic Data

In this chapter, we talk about how we generate the synthetic data of participants' biomarker measurements. These data are used to test our algorithms.

3.1 Obtain Estimated Distribution Parameters

In Section [2.4,](#page-10-1) we mentioned that EBM can be used as a generative model and we need to know S, θ, ϕ and k_j .

First, we obtained S, θ, ϕ from Chen et al. (2016):

Fig. 1. Probability distributions of normal (cyan) and abnormal (black) events measured by biomarkers from the AD and CN populations. The y-axis denotes the proportion of subjects, while the x-axis indicates the detected value of each biomarker measurement. The (-1) is employed to reverse the signs of the biomarker, indicating the left distribution is an event that occurred and the right distribution is an event that did not occur.

Figure 3.1: Theta and Phi from Chen's Paper

This is our estimation:

import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import json

Fig. 2. Optimal temporal order, S^{optimal}, of the 10 AD biomarkers estimated by the EBP model. A) The y-axis shows the S^{optimal} and the x-axis shows the CARE index score at which the corresponding event occurred. B) Bootstrap cross-validation of the S^{optimal}. Each entry in the matrix represents the proportion of the S^{optimal} during 500 bootstrap samples. The proportion values range from 0 to 1 and correspond to color, from white to black. The CARE index scores with their corresponding biomarkers follow: 1, increased HIPFC1; 2, decreased PCC^{FCI}; 3, decreased AB concentration; 4, increased p-tau concentration; 5, decreased MMSE score; 6, increased ADAS score; 7, decreased HIPGMI; 8, decreased AVLT score; 9, decreased FUS^{GMI}; 10, increased FUS^{FCI}.


```
import scipy.stats as stats
from typing import List, Optional, Tuple, Dict
import os
import seaborn as sns
import altair as alt
all_ten_biomarker_names = np.array([
    'MMSE', 'ADAS', 'AB', 'P-Tau', 'HIP-FCI',
    'HIP-GMI', 'AVLT-Sum', 'PCC-FCI', 'FUS-GMI', 'FUS-FCI'])
# in the order above
# cyan, normal
phi_means = [28, -6, 250, -25, 5, 0.4, 40, 12, 0.6, -10]
# black, abnormal
theta_means = [22, -20, 150, -50, -5, 0.3, 20, 5, 0.5, -20]# cyan, normal
phi_std_times_three = [2, 4, 150, 50, 5, 0.7, 45, 12, 0.2, 10]phi_stds = [std_dev/3 for std_dev in phi_std_times_three]
# black, abnormal
theta_std_times_three = [8, 12, 50, 100, 20, 1, 20, 10, 0.2, 18]
theta_stds = [std_dev/3 for std_dev in theta_std_times_three]
```

```
# to get the real_theta_phi means and stds
hashmap_of_dicts = {}
for i, biomarker in enumerate(all_ten_biomarker_names):
    \text{dic} = \{\}# dic = {"biomarker": biomarker}
    dic['theta_mean'] = theta_mean[i]dic['theta_std'] = theta_stds[i]
    dic['phi_mean'] = phi_means[i]
    dic['phi_std'] = phi_stds[i]
    hashmap_of_dicts[biomarker] = dic
hashmap_of_dicts
```
real_theta_phi = pd.DataFrame(hashmap_of_dicts).transpose().reset_index(names=['biomarker']) real_theta_phi

Store the parameters to a JSON file:

```
with open('files/real_theta_phi.json', 'w') as fp:
    json.dump(hashmap_of_dicts, fp)
```

```
biomarkers = all_ten_biomarker_names
n_biomarkers = len(biomarkers)
def plot_distribution_pair(ax, mu1, sigma1, mu2, sigma2, title):
    """mu1, sigma1: theta
    mu2, sigma2: phi
    \bar{1}1 T! \bar{1}1
```

```
xmin = min(mu1 - 4*sigma1, mu2-4*sigma2)xmax = max(mu1 + 4*sigma1, mu2 + 4*sigma2)x = npu1inspace(xmin, xmax, 1000)
    y1 = stats.norm.pdf(x, loc = mu1, scale = sigma1)
    y2 = stats.norm.pdf(x, loc = mu2, scale = sigma2)
    ax.plot(x, y1, label = "Abnormal", color = "black")ax.plot(x, y2, label = "Normal", color = "cyan")ax.fill\_between(x, y1, alpha = 0.3, color = "black")ax.fill_between(x, y2, alpha = 0.3, color = "cyan")ax.set_title(title)
    ax.legend()
fig, axes = plt.subplots(2, n_biomarkers//2, figsize=(20, 10))
for i, biomarker in enumerate(biomarkers):
    ax = axes.floatten()[i]mu1, sigma1, mu2, sigma2 = real_theta_phi[
        real_theta_phi.biomarker == biomarker].reset_index().iloc[0, :][2:].values
    plot_distribution_pair(
        ax, mu1, sigma1, mu2, sigma2, title = biomarker)
```


Figure 3.3: evaluate theta and phi estimations

You can compare this to Figure [3.1.](#page-14-2)

3.2 The Generating Process

In the following, we explain our data generation process.

We have the following parameters:

: Number of participants.

: The percentage of healthy participants.

 M : Number of datasets per combination of j and r .

We set these parameters:

 $js = [50, 200, 500]$ rs = [0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 0.9] num_of_datasets_per_combination = 50

So, there will be $3 \times 5 \times 50 = 750$ datasets to be generated.

We define our generate_data_from_ebm function:

```
def generate_data_from_ebm(
   n_participants: int,
   S_ordering: List[str],
   real_theta_phi_file: str,
   healthy_ratio: float,
   output_dir: str,
   m, # combstr_m
   seed: Optional[int] = 0
) -> pd.DataFrame:
    \bar{\rm H} If \bar{\rm H}Simulate an Event-Based Model (EBM) for disease progression.
    Args:
   n_participants (int): Number of participants.
    S_ordering (List[str]): Biomarker names ordered according to the order
        in which each of them get affected by the disease.
    real_theta_phi_file (str): Directory of a JSON file which contains
        theta and phi values for all biomarkers.
        See real_theta_phi.json for example format.
    output_dir (str): Directory where output files will be saved.
   healthy_ratio (float): Proportion of healthy participants out of n_participants.
    seed (Optional[int]): Seed for the random number generator for reproducibility.
```

```
Returns:
pd.DataFrame: A DataFrame with columns 'participant', "biomarker", 'measurement',
    'diseased'.
\bar{H} "" \bar{H}# Parameter validation
assert n_participants > 0, "Number of participants must be greater than 0."
assert 0 \leq healthy_ratio \leq 1, "Healthy ratio must be between 0 and 1."
# Set the seed for numpy's random number generator
rng = np.random.default rng(seed)# Load theta and phi values from the JSON file
try:
    with open(real_theta_phi_file) as f:
        real_theta_phi = json.load(f)except FileNotFoundError:
    raise FileNotFoundError(f"File {real_theta_phi} not fount")
except json.JSONDecodeError:
    raise ValueError(
        f"File {real_theta_phi_file} is not a valid JSON file.")
n_biomarkers = len(S_ordering)
n_stages = n_biomarkers + 1
n healthy = int(n participants * healthy ratio)
n_diseased = int(n_participants - n_healthy)
# Generate disease stages
kjs = np.concatenate((np.zeros(n_healthy, dtype=int),
                     rng.integers(1, n_stages, n_diseased)))
# shuffle so that it's not 0s first and then disease stages bur all random
rng.shuffle(kjs)
# Initiate biomarker measurement matrix (J participants x N biomarkers) with None
X = np.full((n_participants, n_biomarkers), None, dtype=object)
# Create distributions for each biomarker
theta dist = {biomarker: stats.norm(
    real_theta_phi[biomarker]['theta_mean'],
    real theta phi[biomarker]['theta std']
) for biomarker in S_ordering}
```

```
phi_dist = {biomarker: stats.norm(
    real_theta_phi[biomarker]['phi_mean'],
    real_theta_phi[biomarker]['phi_std']
) for biomarker in S_ordering}
# Populate the matrix with biomarker measurements
for j in range(n_participants):
    for n, biomarker in enumerate(S_ordering):
        # because for each j, we generate X[j, n] in the order of S_ordering,
        # the final dataset will have this ordering as well.
        k_i = kjs[j]S_n = n + 1# Assign biomarker values based on the participant's disease stage
        # affected, or not_affected, is regarding the biomarker, not the participant
        if k_i > 1:
            if k_j \geq S_n:
                # rvs() is affected by np.random()
                X[j, n] = (j, biomarker, theta_dist[biomarker].rvs(random_state=rng), k_j, S_n,
            else:
                X[j, n] = (j, biomarker, phi\_dist[biomarker].rvs(random\_state=rng),k_j, S_n, 'not_affected')
        # if the participant is healthy
        else:
            X[j, n] = (j, biomarker, phi\_dist[biomarker].rvs(random_state=rng),k_j, S_n, 'not_affected')
df = pd.DataFrame(X, columns=S_ordering)
# make this dataframe wide to long
df_long = df.melt(var_name="Biomarker", value_name="Value")
data = df_long['Value'].apply(pd.Series)
data.columns = ['participant', "biomarker",
                'measurement', 'k_j', 'S_n', 'affected_or_not']
# biomarker_name_change_dic = dict(
# zip(S_ordering, range(1, n_biomarkers + 1)))
data['diseased'] = data.apply(lambda row: row.k_j > 0, axis=1)
# data.drop(['k_j', 'S_n', 'affected_or_not'], axis=1, inplace=True)
# data['biomarker'] = data.apply(
# lambda row: f"{row.biomarker} ({biomarker name_change_dic[row.biomarker]})", axis=
```

```
if not os.path.exists(output_dir):
    os.makedirs(output_dir)
filename = f''{int(healthy_ratio*n_participants)}|{n_participants}_{m}"
data.to_csv(f'{output_dir}/{filename}.csv', index=False)
# print("Data generation done! Output saved to:", filename)
return data
```

```
S_ordering = np.array('HIP-FCI', 'PCC-FCI', 'AB', 'P-Tau', 'MMSE', 'ADAS',
    'HIP-GMI', 'AVLT-Sum', 'FUS-GMI', 'FUS-FCI'
])
```

```
# where the generated data will be saved
output_dir = 'data'
```

```
# We run the following only once; once the data is generated, we no longer run it
# We still show the codes to present our generation process
torun = False
```

```
if torun:
    real_theta_phi_file = 'files/real_theta_phi.json'
    for j in js:
        for r in rs:
            for m in range(0, num_of_datasets_per_combination):
                generate_data_from_ebm(
                    n_participants=j,
                    S_ordering=S_ordering,
                    real_theta_phi_file=real_theta_phi_file,
                    healthy_ratio=r,
                    output_dir=output_dir,
                    m=m,seed = int(j*10 + (r * 100) + m),\lambdaprint(f'Done for J={j}')
```
3.3 Visualize Synthetic Data

Above, we have generated 750 datasets, named in the fashion of 150|200_3, which means the third dataset when $j = 200$ and $r = 0.75$.

Next, we try to visualize this dataset.

```
df = pd.read_csv(f''\{output\_dir\}/150|200_3.csv")df.head()
```


df.shape

(2000, 7)

This dataset has 2000 rows because we have 200 participants and 10 biomarkers.

3.3.1 Distribution of all biomarker values

```
alt.renderers.enable('png')
alt.Chart(df).transform_density(
    'measurement',
    as_=['measurement', 'Density'],
    groupby=['biomarker']
).mark_area().encode(
    x="measurement:Q",
    y="Density:Q",
    facet = alt.Facet(
        "biomarker:N",
        columns = 5),
    color=alt.Color(
        'biomarker:N'
    \lambda).properties(
    width= 100,
    height = 180,
```

```
).properties(
    title='Distribution of biomarker measurments'
)
```


Distribution of biomarker measurments

Figure 3.4: Distribution of biomarker measurments

3.3.2 Distribution of A Specific Biomarker

```
idx = 1biomarkers = df.biomarker.unique()
bio_data = df[df.biomarker==biomarkers[idx]]
```

```
alt.Chart(bio_data).transform_density(
    'measurement',
    as_=['measurement', 'Density'],
    groupby=['affected_or_not']
).mark_area().encode(
    x="measurement:Q",
    y="Density:Q",
    facet = alt.Facet(
        "affected_or_not:N",
    ),
    color=alt.Color(
        'affected_or_not:N'
    \mathcal{L}).properties(
    width= 240,
    height = 200,
).properties(
    title=f'Distribution of {biomarker} measurements'
\mathcal{L}
```
Distribution of FUS-FCI measurements

Figure 3.5: Distribution of HIP-FCI measurements, compring bewteen affected and nonaffected group

3.3.3 Looking into A Specific Participant

 $pidx = 1$ $p_data = df[df.participant == pidx]$ p_data


```
pidx =1 # participant index
p_data = df[df.participant == pidx]
alt.Chart(p_data).mark_bar().encode(
   x='biomarker',
   y='measurement',
    color=alt.Color(
        'affected_or_not:N'
    ),
    tooltip=['biomarker', 'affected_or_not', 'measurement']
).interactive().properties(
    title=f'Distribution of biomarker measurements for participant #{idx} (k_j = {p_data.k_j
\left( \right)
```


Distribution of biomarker measurements for participant #1 (k j = 2)

Figure 3.6: Distribution of biomarker measurements for a specific participant

4 Estimate Distribution Parameters

Given S, and a biomarker's measurements, how can we estimate $\mathcal{N}(\theta_\mu, \theta_\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\phi_\mu, \phi_\sigma)$?

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import altair as alt
import math
from scipy.stats import norm
from sklearn.cluster import AgglomerativeClustering
from typing import Dict
import json
from sklearn.cluster import KMeans
from collections import defaultdict
from scipy.stats import mode
```

```
output_dir = 'data'
df = pd.read_csv(f''\{output\_dir\}/150|200_3.csv")biomarkers = df.biomarker.unique()
idx = 1biomarker_df = df[df.biomarker==biomarkers[idx]]
biomarker_df.sample(10)
```


(200, 7)

4.1 Hard K-Means

Ď Tip

To use this algorithm, we only need to know (1) whether this participant is diseased; and (2) each biomarker measurement.

The first method we can use is hard K-Means. We clustering a certain biomarker's measurements into two clusters. A clustering is successful if:

- There are two, and only two clusters.
- Each clustes has more than one element (This is to make sure that the standard deviation of this biomarker's theta or phi is non-zero)

Ideally, we wanted all healthy participants to be grouped into a single cluster, which is why we initially tried using the constrained K-Means algorithm implemented by Babaki (2017). However, the algorithm did not work as intended.

We therefore designed a hard k-means algorithm to satisfy our needs:

- We try hard K-Means multiple times; If the two above mentioned requirements are not met, then
- We group the measurements into two random clusters; If the two above mentioned requirements are still not met, then raise an error and stop.

```
def compute_theta_phi_for_biomarker(biomarker_df, max_attempt = 50, seed = None):
    """get theta and phi parameters for this biomarker
    input:
        - biomarker df: a pd.dataframe of a specific biomarker
    output:
        - a tuple: theta mean, theta std, phi mean, phi std
    """
    if seed is not None:
        # Set the seed for numpy's random number generator
        rng = np.random.default_rng(seed)
    else:
        rng = np.random
```

```
n_clusters = 2
measurements = np.array(biomarker_df['measurement']).reshape(-1, 1)
healthy_df = biomarker_df[biomarker_df['diseased'] == False]
# clustering = AgglomerativeClustering(n_clusters=n_clusters, linkage='ward')
# predictions = clustering.fit_predict(measurements)
# # Verify that AgglomerativeClustering produced exactly 2 clusters with more than 1 mem
# cluster_counts = np.bincount(predictions) # array([3, 2])
# if len(cluster counts) != n_clusters or any(c \le 1 for c in cluster counts):
# print("AgglomerativeClustering did not yield the required clusters, switching to K
# # If AgglomerativeClustering fails, attempt KMeans with a max_attempt limit
curr_attempt = 0n_init_value = 10
clustering_setup = KMeans(n_clusters=n_clusters, n_init=n_init_value)
while curr_attempt < max_attempt:
    clustering_result = clustering_setup.fit(measurements)
    predictions = clustering_result.labels_
    cluster_counts = np.bincount(predictions) # array([3, 2])
    if len(cluster_counts) == n_clusters and all(c > 1 for c in cluster_counts):
        break
    curr_attempt += 1
else:
    print(f"KMeans failed. Try randomizing the predictions")
    predictions = rng.choice([0, 1], size=len(measurements))
    cluster_counts = np.bincount(predictions)
    if len(cluster_counts) != n_clusters or not all(c > 1 for c in cluster_counts):
        raise ValueError(f"KMeans clustering failed to find valid clusters within max_at
healthy_predictions = predictions[healthy_df.index]
mode_result = mode(healthy_predictions, keepdims=False).mode
phi_cluster_idx = mode_result[0] if isinstance(mode_result, np.ndarray) else mode_result
theta_cluster_idx = 1 - phi_cluster_idx
# two empty clusters to strore measurements
clustered_measurements = [[] for ] in range(2)]
# Store measurements into their cluster
for i, prediction in enumerate(predictions):
    clustered_measurements[prediction].append(measurements[i][0])
```

```
# Calculate means and standard deviations
    theta_mean, theta_std = np.mean(
        clustered_measurements[theta_cluster_idx]), np.std(
            clustered_measurements[theta_cluster_idx])
   phi_mean, phi_std = np.mean(
        clustered_measurements[phi_cluster_idx]), np.std(
            clustered measurements[phi_cluster_idx])
    # Check for invalid values
    if any(np.isnan(v) or v == 0 for v in [theta_std, phi_std, theta_mean, phi_mean]):
        raise ValueError("One of the calculated values is invalid (0 or NaN).")
    return theta_mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std
def get_theta_phi_estimates(
   data: pd.DataFrame,
) -> Dict[str, Dict[str, float]]:
   """
    Obtain theta and phi estimates (mean and standard deviation) for each biomarker.
   Args:
    data (pd.DataFrame): DataFrame containing participant data with columns 'participant',
        'biomarker', 'measurement', and 'diseased'.
    # biomarkers (List[str]): A list of biomarker names.
   Returns:
   Dict[str, Dict[str, float]]: A dictionary where each key is a biomarker name,
        and each value is another dictionary containing the means and standard deviations
        for theta and phi of that biomarker, with keys 'theta_mean', 'theta_std', 'phi_mean',
        and 'phi_std'.
    \bar{H} "" \bar{H}# empty hashmap of dictionaries to store the estimates
    estimates = \{\}biomarkers = data.biomarker.unique()
    for biomarker in biomarkers:
        # Filter data for the current biomarker
        # reset_index is necessary here because we will use healthy_df.index later
        biomarker_df = data[data['biomarker']
                            == biomarker].reset_index(drop=True)
        theta mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std = compute_theta_phi_for_biomarker(
            biomarker_df)
        estimates[biomarker] = {
```

```
'theta_mean': theta_mean,
        'theta_std': theta_std,
        'phi_mean': phi_mean,
        'phi_std': phi_std
    }
return estimates
```

```
hard_kmeans_estimates = get_theta_phi_estimates(data = df)
hard_kmeans_estimates_df = pd.DataFrame.from_dict(
    hard_kmeans_estimates, orient='index')
hard_kmeans_estimates_df.reset_index(names = 'biomarker', inplace=True)
hard_kmeans_estimates_df
```


```
with open('files/real_theta_phi.json', 'r') as f:
   truth = json.load(f)truth_df = pd.DataFrame.from_dict(truth, orient='index')
truth_df.reset_index(names = 'biomarker', inplace=True)
truth_df
```


Now let's compare the results using plots:

```
def obtain_theta_phi_params(biomarker, estimate_df, truth):
    '''This is to obtain both true and estimated theta and phi params for each biomarker '''
    biomarker_data_est = estimate_df[estimate_df.biomarker == biomarker].reset_index()
    biomarker_data = truth[truth.biomarker == biomarker].reset_index()
    # theta for affected
    theta_mean_est = biomarker_data_est.theta_mean[0]
    theta_std_est = biomarker_data_est.theta_std[0]
    theta_mean = biomarker_data.theta_mean[0]
    theta_std = biomarker_data.theta_std[0]# phi for not affected
   phi_mean_est = biomarker_data_est.phi_mean[0]
   phi_std_est = biomarker_data_est.phi_std[0]
   phi_mean = biomarker_data.phi_mean[0]
   phi_std = biomarker_data.phi_std[0]
    return theta_mean, theta_std, theta_mean_est, theta_std_est, phi_mean, phi_std, phi_mean
def make_chart(biomarkers, estimate_df, truth, title):
    alt.renderers.enable('png')
    charts = []for biomarker in biomarkers:
        theta_mean, theta_std, theta_mean_est, theta_std_est, phi_mean, phi_std, phi_mean_est
        biomarker, estimate_df, truth)
        mean1, std1 = theta_mean, theta_std
        mean2, std2 = theta_mean_est, theta_std_est
        # Generating points on the x axis
        x_{\text{the}} = np \cdot \text{linspace}(\text{mean1 - 3*std1, mean2 - 3*std2}),max(mean1 + 3*std1, mean2 + 3*std2), 1000)# Creating DataFrames for each distribution
```

```
df1 = pd.DataFrame({'x': x_thetas, 'pdf': norm.pdf(x_thetas, mean1, std1), 'Distribu'}df2 = pd.DataFrame({'x': x_thetas, 'pdf': norm.pdf(x_thetas, mean2, std2), 'Distribu'}# Combining the DataFrames
df3 = pd.concat([df1, df2])# Altair plot
chart_theta = alt.Chart(df3).mark_line().encode(
   x='x',
   y='pdf',
    color=alt.Color('Distribution:N', legend=alt.Legend(title="Theta"))
).properties(
   title=f'{biomarker}, Theta',
   width=100,
   height=100
    \lambdamean1, std1 = phi_mean, phi_std
mean2, std2 = phi_mean_est, phi_std_est
# Generating points on the x axis
x_{\text{phis}} = npu\inspace(min(mean1 - 3*std1, mean2 - 3*std2),
                max(mean1 + 3*std1, mean2 + 3*std2), 1000)# Creating DataFrames for each distribution
df1 = pd.DataFrame({'x': x_phis, 'pdf': norm.pdf(x_phis, mean1, std1), 'Distribution}'df2 = pd.DataFrame({'x': x-phis, 'pdf': norm.pdf(x-phis, mean2, std2), 'Distribution"# Combining the DataFrames
df3 = pd.concat([df1, df2])# Altair plot
chart_phi = alt.Chart(df3).mark_line().encode(
   x='x',
   y='pdf',
    color=alt.Color('Distribution:N', legend=alt.Legend(title="Phi"))
).properties(
   title=f'{biomarker}, Phi',
   width=100,
   height=100
    \sum_{i=1}^{n}
```

```
# Concatenate theta and phi charts horizontally
        hconcat_chart = alt.hconcat(chart_theta, chart_phi).resolve_scale(color="independent
        # Append the concatenated chart to the list of charts
        charts.append(hconcat_chart)
    # Concatenate all the charts vertically
    final_chart = alt.vconcat(*charts).properties(title = title)
    # Display the final chart
    final_chart.display()
make_chart(
   biomarkers[0:5],
   hard_kmeans_estimates_df,
   truth_df,
   title = "Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using hard k-means"
```

```
\overline{)}
```


Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using hard k-means

Figure 4.1: Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Simple Clusering
It turns out the result is not very desriable.

4.2 Conjugate Priors

The second method we may utilize is conjugate priors. Conjugacy occurs when the posterior distribution is in the same family of distribution as the prior distribution, but with new parameter values.

Why conjugacy is important? Because without it, one has to do the integration, which oftentimes is hard.

Three major conjugate families:

- Beta-Binomial
- Gamma-Poisson
- Normal-Normal

In our example, we assume that the measurement data for each biomarker follows a normal distribution; however, we do not know the exact μ and σ . Our job is to estimate the two parameters for each biomarker based on the data we have.

According to *[An Introduction to Bayesian Thinking](https://statswithr.github.io/book/inference-and-decision-making-with-multiple-parameters.html#sec:normal-gamma)* by Clyde et al. (2022), if the data comes from a normal distribution with unknown μ and σ , the conjugate prior for μ has a normal distribution with mean m_0 and variance $\frac{\sigma^2}{n_0}$ $\frac{\sigma^2}{n_0}$. The conjugate prior for $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$ has a Gamma distribution with shape $\frac{v_0}{2}$ and rate $\frac{v_0 s_0^2}{2}$ where

- m_0 : prior estimate of μ .
- n_0 : how strongly is the prior belief in m_0 is held.
- s_0^2 : prior estimate of σ^2 .
- v_0 : prior degress of freedome, influencing the certainty of s_0^2 .

That is to say:

$$
\mu|\sigma^2 \sim \mathcal{N}(m_0, \sigma^2/n_0)
$$

$$
1/\sigma^2 \sim Gamma\left(\frac{v_0}{2}, \frac{v_0 s_0^2}{2}\right)
$$

Combined, we have:

$$
(\mu, 1/\sigma^2) \sim NormalGamma(m_0, n_0, s_0^2, v_0)
$$

The posterior also follows a Normal-Gamma distribution:

$$
(\mu,1/\sigma^2) | data \sim NormalGamma(m_n,n_n,s_n^2,v_n)
$$

More specifically

$$
1/\sigma^2|data\sim Gamma(v_n/2,s_n^2v_n/2)
$$

$$
\mu|data,\sigma^2\sim\mathcal{N}(m_n,\sigma^2/n_n)
$$

Based on the above two equations, we know that the mean of posterior mean is m_n and the mean of the posterior variance is $\frac{s_n^2 v_n}{2} / \frac{(v_n/2)}{2}$. This is beceause the expected value of $Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ is $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$.

where

- m_n : posterior mean, mode, and median for μ
- $\boldsymbol{n}_n\text{: posterior sample size}$
- s_n^2 : posterior variance
- v_n : posterior degrees of freedome

The updating rules to get the new hyper-parameters:

 \overline{a}

$$
m_n = \frac{n}{n+n_0}\bar{y} + \frac{n_0}{n+n_0}m_0
$$

$$
n_n = n_0 + n
$$

$$
v_n = v_0 + n
$$

$$
s_n^2=\frac{1}{v_n}\left[s^2(n-1)+s_0^2v_0+\frac{n_0n}{n_n}(\bar{y}-m_0)^2\right]
$$

where

- $n:$ sample size
- \bar{y} : sample mean
- s^2 : sample variance

\bullet Tip

To apply the algorithm of conjugate priors, we assume we already know S and k_j , alongside biomarker measurement (X_{nj}) . Based on S and k_j , we can infer whether a biomarker is affected by the disease or not.

```
def estimate_params_exact(m0, n0, s0_sq, v0, data):
    '''This is to estimate means and vars based on conjugate priors
    Inputs:
        - data: a vector of measurements
        - m0: prior estimate of $\mu$.
        - n0: how strongly is the prior belief in $m_0$ is held.
        - s0_sq: prior estimate of $\sigma^2$.
        - v0: prior degress of freedome, influencing the certainty of $s_0^2$.
    Outputs:
       - mu estiate, std estimate
    \mathsf{I} \cdot \mathsf{I} \cdot \mathsf{I}# Data summary
    sample_mean = np.mean(data)
    sample_size = len(data)
    sample_var = np.var(data, ddef=1) # ddof=1 for unbiased estimator
    # Update hyperparameters for the Normal-Inverse Gamma posterior
    updated_m0 = (n0 * m0 + sample_size * sample_mean) / (n0 + sample_size)updated_n0 = n0 + sample_size
    updated_v0 = v0 + sample_sizeupdated_s0_sq = (1 / updated_v0) * ((sample_size - 1) * sample_var + v0 * s0_sq + ...(n0 * sample_size / updated_n0) * (sample_mean - m0)updated_alpha = updated_v0/2updated_beta = updated_v0*updated_s0_sq/2
    # Posterior estimates
    mu_posterior_mean = updated_m0
    sigma_squared_posterior_mean = updated_beta/updated_alpha
    mu_estimation = mu_posterior_mean
    std_estimation = np.sqrt(sigma_squared_posterior_mean)
    return mu_estimation, std_estimation
def get_theta_phi_conjugate_priors(biomarkers, data_we_have, theta_phi_kmeans):
```

```
'''To get estimated parameters, returns a hashmap
Input:
- biomarkers: biomarkers
- data_we_have: participants data filled with initial or updated participant_stages
- theta_phi_kmeans: a hashmap of dicts, which are the prior theta and phi values
    obtained from the initial hard k-means algorithm
Output:
- a hashmap of dictionaries. Key is biomarker name and value is a dictionary.
Each dictionary contains the theta and phi mean/std values for a specific biomarker.
\mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}# empty list of dictionaries to store the estimates
hashmap of means stds estimate dicts = \{\}for biomarker in biomarkers:
    # Initialize dictionary outside the inner loop
    dic = {'biomarker': biomarker}
    for affected in ['affected', 'not_affected']:
        data_full = data_we_have[(data_we_have.biomarker == biomarker) \& (
            data_we_have.affected_or_not == affected)]
        if len(data full) > 1:
            measurements = data_full.measurement
            s0_sq = np.var(measurements, ddof=1)
            m0 = np.mean(measurements)
            mu_estimate, std_estimate = estimate params_exact(
                m0=m0, n0=1, s0_sq=s0_sq, v0=1, data=measurements)
            if affected == 'affected':
                dic['theta_mean'] = mu_estimate
                dic['theta_std'] = std_estimate
            else:
                dic['phi\_mean'] = mu_estimatedic['phi\_std'] = std\_estimate# If there is only one observation or not observation at all, resort to theta_ph
        # YES, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT DATA_FULL HERE IS NULL
        # For example, if a biomarker indicates stage of (num_biomarkers), but all parti
        # are smaller than that stage; so that for all participants, this biomarker is no
        else:
            print('not enough data here, so we have to use theta phi estimates from hard
            # print(theta_phi_kmeans)
            if affected == 'affected':
                dic['theta_mean'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['theta_mean']
                dic['theta_std'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['theta_std']
```

```
else:
                    dic['phi_mean'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['phi_mean']
                    dic['phi_std'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['phi_std']
        # print(f"biomarker {biomarker} done!")
       hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts[biomarker] = dic
   return hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts
conjugate_prior_theta_phi = get_theta_phi_conjugate_priors(
   biomarkers = biomarkers,
```

```
data we have = df,
    theta_phi_kmeans = hard_kmeans_estimates
\lambda
```

```
cp_df = pd.DataFrame.from_dict(conjugate_prior_theta_phi, orient='index')
cp_df.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
cp_df
```


i Note

When we estimate θ and ϕ using conjugate priors, we need to use the result from hard k-means as a fall back because it is possible that for a specific biomarker, either the affected or the not_affected group is empty. If that is the case, we are not able to estimate relevant parameters and have to resort to the fallback result.

```
make_chart(
    biomarkers[0:5],
    cp_df,
    truth_df,
```
title = "Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Conjugate Priors"

)

Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Conjugate Priors

Figure 4.2: Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Conjugate Prior

4.3 Soft K-Means

Conjugate Priors assumes we know k_j , which often times is not already known. Our hard k-means algorithm is only taking advantage of X_{ni} and whether participants are diseased or not, leaving S , which is known to us, unexploited.

Soft K-Means is a good alternative to these two because it utilizes S while at the same time do not assume we know k_j .

The logic of soft-kmeans is this;

1. If a participant is diseased, we iterate through all possible disease stages, and calculate the associated likelihood using Equation [2.1.](#page-7-0) We then normalize these likelihoods to obtain the estimated probability of this participant being at each stage. For example, if there are three possible stages, and the associated likelihoods are [1, 3, 6], then the normalized likelihoods would be [0.1, 0.3, 0.6].

Tip

You may wonder how we can use Equation [2.1](#page-7-0) when we do not know θ and ϕ yet (which is exactly what we are trying to do!). If you notice this, it is a very keen observation!. If fact, we are going to use the estimated θ and ϕ we obtained above using hard k-means.

2. For each biomarker n, we obtain S_n based on S. Then we iterate through all participants. If this participant is healthy, we include their biomarker measurement in cluster_phi. If this participant is diseased, we compare between P_{θ} and P_{ϕ} . If $S_n = 2$, then $P_{\theta} =$ $0.1+0.3 = 0.4$ and $P_{\phi} = 0.6$. Because P_{ϕ} is larger, we include this participant's biomarker measurement in cluster_phi. When the iteration through participants is done, we can calculate the mean and standard deviation of each cluster.

\bullet Tip

```
If P_{\theta} = P_{\phi}, we randomly assign this participant's biomarker measurement to a cluster.
```

```
def compute_single_measurement_likelihood(theta_phi, biomarker, affected, measurement):
    '''Computes the likelihood of the measurement value of a single biomarker
   We know the normal distribution defined by either theta or phi
   and we know the measurement. This will give us the probability
   of this given measurement value.
   input:
   - theta_phi: the dictionary containing theta and phi values for each biomarker
```

```
- biomarker: an integer between 0 and 9
    - affected: boolean
    - measurement: the observed value for a biomarker in a specific participant
    output: a scalar
    \mathbf{1} . \mathbf{1} . \mathbf{1}biomarker_dict = theta_phi[biomarker]
    mu = biomarker_dict['theta_mean'] if affected else biomarker_dict['phi_mean']
    std = biomarker_dict['theta_std'] if affected else biomarker_dict['phi_std']
    var = std**2if var <= int(0) or np.isnan(measurement) or np.isnan(mu):
        print(f"Invalid values: measurement: {measurement}, mu: {mu}, var: {var}")
        likelihood = np.exp(-(measurement - mu)**2 /
                              (2 * var)) / np.sqrt(2 * np.pi * var)else:
        likelihood = np.exp(-(measurement - mu)**2 /
                              (2 * var) / np.sqrt(2 * np.pi * var)return likelihood
def fill_up_kj_and_affected(pdata, k_j):
    '''Fill up a single participant's data using k_j; basically add two columns:
    k_j and affected
    Note that this function assumes that pdata already has the S_n column
    Input:
    - pdata: a dataframe of ten biomarker values for a specific participant
    - k_j: a scalar
    \bar{1} <br>\bar{1} \bar{1}data = pdata.copy()data['k_j'] = k_jdata['affected'] = data.apply(lambda row: row.k_j >= row.S_n, axis=1)
    return data
def compute_likelihood(pdata, k_j, theta_phi):
    \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I}This function computes the likelihood of seeing this sequence of biomarker values
    for a specific participant, assuming that this participant is at stage k_j
    \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I}data = fill_up_kj_and_affected(pdata, k_j)likelihood = 1
    for i, row in data.iterrows():
        biomarker = row['biomarker']
```

```
measurement = row['measurement']
        affected = row['affected']
        likelihood *= compute_single_measurement_likelihood(
            theta_phi, biomarker, affected, measurement)
    return likelihood
def obtain_participants_hashmap(
        data,
        prior_theta_phi_estimates,
):
    "''"Input:
        - data: a pd.dataframe. For exrample, 150|200_3.csv
        - prior_theta_phi_estimates, a hashmap of dicts.
            This is the result from hard k-means
    Output:
        - hashmap: a dictionary whose key is participant id
            and value value is a dict whose key is stage
            and value is normalized likelihood
    """
    # initialize hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts
    participants_hashmap = {}
    non_diseased_participants = data[
        data.diseased == False]['participant'].unique()
    disease_stages = data.S_n.unique()
    for p in data.participant.unique():
        dic = defaultdict(int)
        pdata = data[data.parenticipant == p].reset_index(drop = True)if p in non_diseased_participants:
            dic[0] = 1else:
            for k_j in disease_stages:
                kj_l = compute_l\label{eq:1} ikelihood(pdata, k_l, prior_theta_phi_estimates)
                dic[k_j] = kj_l1# likelihood sum
            sum_1 = sum(dic.values())epsilon = 1e-10if sum_ll == 0:
                sum 11 = epsilon
            normalized_lls = [l/sum_ll for l in dic.values()]
            normalized_ll_dict = dict(zip(disease_stages, normalized_lls))
```

```
participants_hashmap[p] = normalized_ll_dict
    return participants_hashmap
def calc_soft_kmeans_for_biomarker(
        data,
        biomarker,
        participants_hashmap
):
    """obtain theta, phi estimates using soft kmeans for a single biomarker
   Inputs:
        - data: a pd.dataframe. For example, 150|200_3.csv
        - biomarker: a str, a certain biomarker name
        - hashmap: a dict, returned result of obtain_hashmap()
    Outputs:
        - theta_mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std, a tuple of floats
    """
    non_diseased_participants = data[
        data.diseased == False]['participant'].unique()
    disease_stages = data.S_n.unique()
     # DataFrame for this biomarker
    biomarker_df = data[data['biomarker'] == biomarker].reset_index(
            drop=True).sort_values(
                by = 'participant', ascending = True)# Extract measurements
    measurements = np.array(biomarker_df['measurement'])
    this_biomarker_order = biomarker_df.S_n[0]
    affected_cluster = []
   non_affected_cluster = []
    for p in data.participant.unique():
        if p in non_diseased_participants:
            non_affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
        else:
            normalized_ll_dict = participants_hashmap[p]
            affected_prob = sum(
                normalized_ll_dict[
                    kj] for kj in disease_stages if kj >= this_biomarker_order)
            non_affected_prob = sum(
```

```
normalized_ll_dict[
                    kj] for kj in disease_stages if kj < this_biomarker_order)
            if affected_prob > non_affected_prob:
                    affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
            elif affected prob < non affected prob:
                non_affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
            else:
                # Assign to either cluster randomly if probabilities are equal
                if np.random.random() > 0.5:
                    affected cluster.append(measurements[p])
                else:
                    non_affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
    # Compute means and standard deviations
    theta_mean = np.mean(affected_cluster) if affected_cluster else np.nan
    theta_std = np.std(affected_cluster) if affected_cluster else np.nan
   phi_mean = np.macan (
        non_affected_cluster) if non_affected_cluster else np.nan
   phi_std = np.std(non_affected_cluster) if non_affected_cluster else np.nan
    return theta_mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std
def cal_soft_kmeans_for_biomarkers(
        data,
        participants_hashmap,
        prior_theta_phi_estimates,
):
    soft_kmeans_estimates = {}
   biomarkers = data.biomarker.unique()
   for biomarker in biomarkers:
        dic = {'biomarker': biomarker}
        prior = prior_theta_phi_estimates[biomarker]
        theta_mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std = calc_soft_kmeans_for_biomarker(
            data, biomarker, participants_hashmap
        \mathcal{L}if theta_std == 0 or math.isnan(theta_std):
            theta_mean = prior['theta_mean']
            theta_std = prior['theta_std']
        if phi_std == 0 or math.isnan(phi_std):
           phi_mean = prior['phi_mean']
           phi_std = prior['phi_std']
        dic['theta_mean'] = theta_meandic['theta_std'] = theta_std
        dic['phi\_mean'] = phi\_mean
```

```
dic['phi_std'] = phi_std
        soft_kmeans_estimates[biomarker] = dic
    return soft_kmeans_estimates
participants_hashmap = obtain_participants_hashmap(
    data = df,prior_theta_phi_estimates = hard_kmeans_estimates,
\lambdasoft_kmeans_estimates = cal_soft_kmeans_for_biomarkers(
        data = df,participants_hashmap = participants_hashmap,
        prior_theta_phi_estimates = hard_kmeans_estimates,
\mathcal{L}
```

```
soft_kmeans_estimates_df = pd.DataFrame.from_dict(
    soft_kmeans_estimates, orient='index')
soft_kmeans_estimates_df.reset_index(drop=True, inplace=True)
soft_kmeans_estimates_df
```


```
make_chart(
   biomarkers[0:5],
    soft_kmeans_estimates_df,
   truth_df,
    title = "Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Soft K-Means"
)
```


Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Soft K-Means

Figure 4.3: Comparing Theta and Phi Distributions Using Soft K-Mean

4.4 Conclusion

We compare the above three methods. Hard k-means has the least number of prerequisites: it only needs to know whether participants are healthy or not and biomarker measurements. However, the drawback is that it might not be very accurate. Conjugate priors are extremely accurate; however, it requires knowledge of almost everything: besides what is required by hard k-means, it also requires S and k_j . Soft k-kmeans does not require the knowledge of k_j and is an improvement over hard k-means.

We also noticed that both conjugate priors and soft k-means need to use the result from hard k-means as a fallback.

5 Estimate Participant Stages

In this chapter, we will do some exercise to have a deeper understanding of the math equations in Section [2.3](#page-6-0).

5.1 Challenge

Suppos we know S, θ, ϕ . How could we estimate participant stages?

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import json
from collections import Counter
```
This is the data we have. And we want to know fill the missing column of k_j.

```
output_dir = 'data'
df = pd.read_csv(f''\{output\_dir\}/100|200_3.csv")real_stages_dic = dict(zip(df.participant, df.k_j))df.drop(['k_j', 'affected_or_not'], axis = 1, inplace=True)
df.head()
```


5.2 Solution

One possible solution looks like this:

- For each diseased participant, we iterate through all possible disease stages and calculate the likelihood using Equation [2.1.](#page-7-0)
- We normalize all the likelihoods, construct an array, and randomly sample one possible stage according to that array.
- Run multiple times, for each diseased participant, the mode of the sampled stages will be their stage.

```
def compute_single_measurement_likelihood(
        theta_phi,
        biomarker,
        affected,
        measurement):
    '''Computes the likelihood of the measurement value of a single biomarker
    We know the normal distribution defined by either theta or phi
    and we know the measurement. This will give us the probability
    of this given measurement value.
    input:
    - theta_phi: the dictionary containing theta and phi values for each biomarker
    - biomarker: an integer between 0 and 9
    - affected: boolean
    - measurement: the observed value for a biomarker in a specific participant
    output: a scalar
    \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I}biomarker_dict = theta_phi[biomarker]
    mu = biomarker_dict['theta_mean'] if affected else biomarker_dict['phi_mean']
    std = biomarker_dict['theta_std'] if affected else biomarker_dict['phi_std']
    var = std**2if var <= int(0) or np.isnan(measurement) or np.isnan(mu):
        print(f"Invalid values: measurement: {measurement}, mu: {mu}, var: {var}")
        likelihood = np.exp(-(measurement - mu)**2 /
                             (2 * var)) / np.sqrt(2 * np.pi * var)else:
        likelihood = np.exp(-(measurement - mu)**2 /
                             (2 * var) / np.sqrt(2 * np.pi * var)
```
return likelihood

```
def fill_up_kj_and_affected(pdata, k_j):
    '''Fill up a single participant's data using k_j; basically add two columns:
    k_j and affected
    Note that this function assumes that pdata already has the S_n column
    Input:
    - pdata: a dataframe of ten biomarker values for a specific participant
    - k_j: a scalar
    \bar{1}'i '
    data = pdata.copy()data['k_,j'] = k_,jdata['affected'] = data.apply(lambda row: row.k_j >= row.S_n, axis=1)
    return data
def compute_likelihood(pdata, k_j, theta_phi):
    \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}This function computes the likelihood of seeing this sequence of biomarker values
    for a specific participant, assuming that this participant is at stage k_j
    \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I}data = fill_up_kj_and_affected(pdata, k_j)
    likelihood = 1
    for i, row in data.iterrows():
        biomarker = row['biomarker']
        measurement = row['measurement']
        affected = row['affected']
        likelihood *= compute_single_measurement_likelihood(
            theta_phi, biomarker, affected, measurement)
    return likelihood
```
We first look at the known θ , ϕ :

```
with open('files/real_theta_phi.json', 'r') as f:
    truth = json.load(f)truth_df = pd.DataFrame.from_dict(truth, orient='index')
truth_df.reset_index(names = 'biomarker', inplace=True)
truth_df
```


5.3 Implementation

We then implement the algorithm mentioned above:

```
theta_phi_estimates = truth.copy()
disease_stages = df.S_n.unique()diseased_participants = df[df.diseased==True]['participant'].unique()
def update_participant_stages_dic(
        data,
        p,
        disease_stages,
        theta_phi_estimates,
        # participant stage dic:
        psdic,
        sample_iterations = 20
):
    \scriptstyle\rm II\ II\ II}Inputs:
        - data: pd.dataframe, e.g., 100|200_3.csv
        - p: int
        - disease_stages: a list of integers
        - theta_phi_estimates: a hashmap of dictionaries
        - psdic: a dictionary
        - sample_iteration: int. How many times we sample
    Output:
        no outputs. Simply update psdic
    "''"
```

```
pdata = data[data.participant == p]
    stage_likelihood_dict = {}
    for k_j in disease_stages:
        kj_likelihood = compute_likelihood(
            pdata, k_j, theta_phi_estimates)
        # update each stage likelihood for this participant
        stage_likelihood_dict[k_j] = kj_likelihood
    # Add a small epsilon to avoid division by zero
    likelihood_sum = sum(stage_likelihood_dict.values())
    epsilon = 1e-10if likelihood_sum == 0:
        # print("Invalid likelihood_sum: zero encountered.")
        likelihood_sum = epsilon # Handle the case accordingly
    normalized_stage_likelihood = [
        l/likelihood_sum for l in stage_likelihood_dict.values()]
    sampled_stages = np.random.choice(
        disease_stages,
        size = sample_iterations,
        p=normalized_stage_likelihood,
        replace=True
    \lambdamode_result = Counter(sampled_stages).most_common(1)[0][0]
    psdic[p] = mode_resultparticipants = df.participant.unique()
psdic = \{\}for p in participants:
    if p not in diseased_participants:
        psdic[p] = 0else:
        update_participant_stages_dic(
            df,
            p,
            disease_stages,
            theta_phi_estimates,
```
participant stage dic:

sample iterations = 10

psdic,

```
\lambda
```
5.4 Result

Then we compare our results with the actual participants' stages:

```
diff = np.array(list(psdic.values())) - np.array(list(real_stages_dic.values()))
def scatter_plot_of_stage_differences(stage_differences):
    '''Scatter Plot of the Difference at each index
    Input:
    - stage_differences: estimated_stages - actual stages. Result should be a 1-dim np array
    \mathbf{1} . If \mathbf{1}plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
    plt.scatter(range(len(diff)), stage_differences, alpha=0.6)
    plt.axhline(y=0, color='red', linestyle='--')
    plt.title("Scatter Plot of Stage Difference for Each Participant")
    plt.xlabel("Participant")
    plt.ylabel("Difference (Estimated Stage - True Stage)")
    plt.grid(True)
    plt.show()
```
scatter_plot_of_stage_differences(diff)

5.5 Discussion

From the above result, we can see how challenging it is to accurately estimate participant stages, **even if** we know exactly the θ and ϕ .

Ď Tip

What if we know only S, but not θ or ϕ ? The first step for us is to estimate θ , ϕ and then follow the above procedures. To do that, refer back to Chapter [4.](#page-27-0)

6 Estimate S

Now we come to the core part of our project: how can we estimate S , without knowing θ, ϕ, k_j ?

Basically, this is the data we have:

```
import pandas as pd
output_dir = 'data'
df = pd.read_csv(f''\{output\_dir\}/150|200_3.csv") .drop(['k_j', 'S_n', 'affected_or_not'], axis = 1)df.head()
```


The main idea is this:

- We need to know θ , ϕ first before we can estimate likelihoods. To estimate θ , ϕ , there are three approaches covered in Chapter [4.](#page-27-0)
- We try many different S and calculate its associated likelihood. We either accept or reject this S according to [Metropolis–Hastings algorithm.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metropolis%E2%80%93Hastings_algorithm)

In the following, We will cover three different approaches to estimate S :

- Hard K-Means
- Soft K-Means
- Conjugate Priors

7 Estimate with Hard KMeans

The basic idea of using hard K Means to estimate S is:

- We first estimate distribution parameters using hard K Means, the exact procedure we covered in Section [4.1.](#page-28-0)
- We use [Metropolis–Hastings algorithm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metropolis%E2%80%93Hastings_algorithm) to accept or reject a proposed S .

Algorithm 1 Metropolis-Hastings Hard K-Means

- 1: **Input:** data with participant id, biomarker, and its measurement; n_{shuffe} . number of elements to shuffle
- 2: Output: all_accepted_orders
- 3: $\theta, \phi \leftarrow$ get_theta_phi_using_hard_kmeans()
- 4: all_accepted_orders \leftarrow []
- 5: current_order \leftarrow permutation(np.arange(1, n_{stages}))
- 6: current_ll $\leftarrow -\infty$
- 7: for i in range (iterations) do
- $new_{order} \leftarrow current_{order.copy}()$ 8:
- shuffle_order(new_order, n_{shuffle}) 9:
- all $\ln \mathbb{I}$ \leftarrow compute \mathbb{I} (data, theta, phi, new order) $10:$
- $prob_of_accepting_new_order \leftarrow exp(all_ln_ll current_ll)$ $11:$
- **if** np.random.rand() \langle prob_of_accepting_new_order then $12:$
- $13:$ $current-order \leftarrow new-order$
- $current_ll \leftarrow all_ln_ll$ $14:$
- all_accepted_orders.append(current_order) $15:$
- end if 16:
- $17:$ end for
- 18: return all_accepted_orders

Figure 7.1: Hard K-Means Algorithm

7.1 Implementation

```
import numpy as np
import utils
import json
import pandas as pd
import utils
from scipy.stats import kendalltau
import sys
import os
def calculate_all_participant_ln_likelihood(
        iteration,
        data_we_have,
        current_order_dict,
        n_participants,
        non_diseased_participant_ids,
        theta_phi_estimates,
        diseased_stages,
):
   data = data_{we\_have.copy()data['S_n'] = data.appendy(lambda row: current_order_dict[row['biomarker']], axis=1)
    all_participant_ln_likelihood = 0
    for p in range(n_participants):
        pdata = data[data.participant == p].reset_index(drop=True)
        if p in non_diseased_participant_ids:
            this_participant_likelihood = utils.compute_likelihood(
                pdata, k_j=0, theta_phi=theta_phi_estimates)
            this_participant_ln_likelihood = np.log(
                this_participant_likelihood + 1e-10)
        else:
            # normalized_stage_likelihood_dict = None
            # initiaze stage_likelihood
            stage_likelihood_dict = {}
            for k_j in diseased_stages:
                kj_likelihood = utils.compute_likelihood(
                    pdata, k_j, theta_phi_estimates)
                # update each stage likelihood for this participant
                stage_likelihood_dict[k_j] = kj_likelihood
```

```
# Add a small epsilon to avoid division by zero
            likelihood_sum = sum(stage_likelihood_dict.values())
            # calculate weighted average
            this_participant_likelihood = np.mean(likelihood_sum)
            this_participant_ln_likelihood = np.log(
                this_participant_likelihood + 1e-10)
        all_participant_ln_likelihood += this_participant_ln_likelihood
    return all_participant_ln_likelihood
def metropolis_hastings_hard_kmeans(
    data we have,
   iterations,
   n_shuffle,
):
    '''Implement the metropolis-hastings algorithm using simple clustering
    Inputs:
        - data: data_we_have
        - iterations: number of iterations
        - log_folder_name: the folder where log files locate
    Outputs:
        - best order: a numpy array
        - best_likelihood: a scalar
    'n participants = len(data we have.participant.unique())
    biomarkers = data we have.biomarker.unique()
   n_biomarkers = len(biomarkers)
   n_stages = n_biomarkers + 1
   non_diseased_participant_ids = data_we_have.loc[
        data_we_have.diseased == False].participant.unique()
    diseased_stages = np.arange(start=1, stop=n_stages, step=1)# obtain the iniial theta and phi estimates
    theta_phi_estimates = utils.get_theta_phi_estimates(
        data_we_have)
    # initialize empty lists
    acceptance count = 0all_current_accepted_order_dicts = []
    current accepted order = np.random.permutation(np.arange(1, n_stages))
    current_accepted_order_dict = dict(zip(biomarkers, current_accepted_order))
```

```
current_accepted_likelihood = -np.inffor _ in range(iterations):
    # in each iteration, we have updated current_order_dict and theta_phi_estimates
    new_order = current_accepted_order.copy()
    utils.shuffle_order(new_order, n_shuffle)
    current_order_dict = dict(zip(biomarkers, new_order))
    all_participant_ln_likelihood = calculate_all_participant_ln_likelihood(
            \overline{\phantom{a}},
            data_we_have,
            current_order_dict,
            n_participants,
            non_diseased_participant_ids,
            theta_phi_estimates,
            diseased_stages,
        )
    # Log-Sum-Exp Trick
    max_likelihood = max(all_participant_ln_likelihood,
                         current_accepted_likelihood)
    prob_of_accepting_new_order = np.exp(
        (all_participant_ln_likelihood - max_likelihood) -
        (current_accepted_likelihood - max_likelihood)
    \lambda# prob_of_accepting_new_order = np.exp(
    # all_participant_ln_likelihood - current_accepted_likelihood)
    # np.exp(a)/np.exp(b) = np.exp(a - b)# if a > b, then np.exp(a - b) > 1# it will definitly update at the first iteration
    if np.random.rand() < prob_of_accepting_new_order:
        acceptance_count += 1
        current_accepted_order = new_order
        current_accepted_likelihood = all_participant_ln_likelihood
        current_accepted_order_dict = current_order_dict
    acceptance\_ratio = acceptance\_count*100/(-1)all_current_accepted_order_dicts.append(current_accepted_order_dict)
```

```
if (-1) % 10 == 0:
            formatted_string = (
                f"iteration \{- + 1\} done, "
                f"current accepted likelihood: {current_accepted_likelihood}, "
                f"current acceptance ratio is {acceptance ratio:.2f} %, "
                f"current accepted order is {current_accepted_order_dict.values()}, "
            \lambdaprint(formatted_string)
    # print("done!")
    return all_current_accepted_order_dicts
n\_shuffle = 2iterations = 10
burn in = 2thining = 2base\_dir = os.getcvd()print(f"Current working directory: {base_dir}")
data_dir = os.path.join(base_dir, "data")
cop_kmeans_dir = os.path.join(base_dir, 'hard_kmeans')
temp_results_dir = os.path.join(cop_kmeans_dir, "temp_json_results")
img_dir = os.path.join(cop_kmeans_dir, 'img')
results_file = os.path.join(cop_kmeans_dir, "results.json")
os.makedirs(cop_kmeans_dir, exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs(temp_results_dir, exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs(img_dir, exist_ok=True)
print(f"Data directory: {data_dir}")
print(f"Temp results directory: {temp_results_dir}")
print(f"Image directory: {img_dir}")
if __name__ == "__main__":
    # Read parameters from command line arguments
    i = 200r = 0.75m = 3
```

```
print(f"Processing with j={j}, r={r}, m={m}")
combstr = f''\{int(j*r)\}|{j}''heatmap_folder = img_dir
img_filename = f"{int(j*r)}-{j}_{m}"
filename = f''{combstr} {m}"
data_file = f''\{\text{data\_dir}\}/\{\text{filename}\}.csv''data_we_have = pd.read_csv(data_file)
n_bbiomarkers = len(data_we_have.biomarker.unique())
if not os.path.exists(data_file):
    print(f"Data file not found: {data_file}")
    sys.exit(1) # Exit early if the file doesn't exist
else:
    print(f"Data file found: {data_file}")
# Define the temporary result file
temp_result_file = os.path.join(temp_results_dir, f"temp_results_{j}_{r}_{m}.json")
\text{dic} = \{\}if combstr not in dic:
    dic[combstr] = []
accepted_order_dicts = metropolis_hastings_hard_kmeans(
    data_we_have,
    iterations,
    n_shuffle,
\mathcal{L}utils.save_heatmap(
    accepted_order_dicts,
    burn_in,
    thining,
    folder_name=heatmap_folder,
    file_name=f"{img_filename}",
    title=f'heatmap of {filename}')
most_likely_order_dic = utils.obtain_most_likely_order_dic(
    accepted_order_dicts, burn_in, thining)
most_likely_order = list(most_likely_order_dic.values())
```

```
tau, p_value = kendalltau(most_likely_order, range(1, n_biomarkers + 1))
dic[combstr].append(tau)
# Write the results to a unique temporary file inside the temp folder
with open(temp_result_file, "w") as file:
   json.dump(dic, file, indent=4)
print(f"{filename} is done! Results written to {temp_result_file}")
```

```
Current working directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook
Data directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/data
Temp results directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/hard_kmeans/temp_json_results
Image directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/hard_kmeans/img
Processing with j=200, r=0.75, m=3
Data file found: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/data/150|200_3.csv
iteration 10 done, current accepted likelihood: -4491.553963056519, current acceptance ratio
150|200_3 is done! Results written to /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/hard_kmeans/tem
```
7.2 Result

We plot the resulting S probalistically using a heatmap. We also quantify the difference between our result with the real S using [Kendall's Tau](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kendall_rank_correlation_coefficient). It ranges from -1 (completely different) to 1 (exactly the same). 0 indicate complete randomness.

dic

```
{'150|200': [0.3333333333333333]}
```


Figure 7.2: Result of Hard K-Means

8 Estimate with Soft K-Means

The basic idea of using Soft K-Means to estimate S is:

- We first estimate distribution parameters using hard K-Means, the exact procedure we covered in Section [4.1.](#page-28-0)
- In each iteration, we use Soft Kmeans algorithm to update θ , ϕ (refer to Section [4.3\)](#page-43-0) and use [Metropolis–Hastings algorithm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metropolis%E2%80%93Hastings_algorithm) to accept or reject a proposed S .

Algorithm 2 Metropolis-Hastings Soft K-Means

- 1: **Input:** data with participant id, biomarker, and its measurement; n_{shuffle} . number of elements to shuffle
- 2: Output: all_accepted_orders
- 3: θ , $\phi \leftarrow$ get_theta_phi_using_clustering()
- 4: all_accepted_orders \leftarrow []
- 5: current_order \leftarrow permutation(np.arange(1, n_{stages}))
- 6: current_ll $\leftarrow -\infty$
- 7: for i in range (iterations) do
- $new_{order} \leftarrow current_{order.copy}()$ 8:
- 9: shuffle_order(new_order, n_{shuffle})
- (all ln ll, hashmap of marginalized ll dics) $\leftarrow f(\text{data}, \theta, \phi, \text{new-order})$ $10:$
- $prob_of_accepting_new_order \leftarrow exp(all_ln_ll current_ll)$ $11:$
- **if** np.random.rand() \langle prob_of_accepting_new_order then $12:$
- $current_\{order} \leftarrow new_\{order}$ $13:$
- $current_ll \leftarrow all_ln_ll$ 14:
- all_accepted_orders.append(current_order) $15:$
- 16: end if
- $\theta, \phi \leftarrow$ theta_phi_soft_kmeans(data, hashmap_of_marginalized_ll_dics) $17:$
- 18: end for
- 19: return all_accepted_orders

Figure 8.1: Soft K-Means Algorithm

8.1 Implementation

```
import numpy as np
import utils
import json
import pandas as pd
import utils
from scipy.stats import kendalltau
import sys
import os
import math
def calculate_soft_kmeans_for_biomarker(
        data,
        biomarker,
        order_dict,
        n_participants,
        non_diseased_participants,
        hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts,
        diseased_stages,
        seed=None
):
    """
    Calculate mean and std for both the affected and non-affected clusters for a single biom
    Parameters:
        data (pd.DataFrame): The data containing measurements.
        biomarker (str): The biomarker to process.
        order dict (dict): Dictionary mapping biomarkers to their order.
        n_participants (int): Number of participants in the study.
        non diseased participants (list): List of non-diseased participants.
        hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts (dict): Hash map of
            dictionaries containing stage likelihoods for each participant.
        diseased_stages (list): List of diseased stages.
        seed (int, optional): Random seed for reproducibility.
    Returns:
        tuple: Means and standard deviations for affected and non-affected clusters.
    """
    if seed is not None:
        # Set the seed for numpy's random number generator
```

```
rng = np.random.default_rng(seed)
else:
    rng = np.random
# DataFrame for this biomarker
biomarker_df = data[
    data['biomarker'] == biomarker].reset_index(
        drop=True).sort_values(
            by = 'participant', ascending = True)# Extract measurements
measurements = np.array(biomarker_df['measurement'])
this_biomarker_order = order_dict[biomarker]
affected_cluster = []
non_affected_cluster = []
for p in range(n_participants):
    if p in non_diseased_participants:
        non_affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
    else:
        if this_biomarker_order == 1:
            affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
        else:
            normalized stage_likelihood_dict = hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_di
                p]
            # Calculate probabilities for affected and non-affected states
            affected_prob = sum(
                normalized_stage_likelihood_dict[s] for s in diseased_stages if s >= thiomarker
            \mathcal{L}non_affected_prob = sum(
                normalized_stage_likelihood_dict[s] for s in diseased_stages if s < this
            )
            if affected_prob > non_affected_prob:
                affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
            elif affected_prob < non_affected_prob:
                non_affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
            else:
                # Assign to either cluster randomly if probabilities are equal
                if rng.random() > 0.5:
                    affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
                else:
```

```
non_affected_cluster.append(measurements[p])
    # Compute means and standard deviations
    theta_mean = np.mean(affected_cluster) if affected_cluster else np.nan
    theta_std = np.std(affected_cluster) if affected_cluster else np.nan
    phi_mean = np.mean(
        non_affected_cluster) if non_affected_cluster else np.nan
    phi_std = np.std(non_affected_cluster) if non_affected_cluster else np.nan
    return theta_mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std
def soft_kmeans_theta_phi_estimates(
        iteration,
        prior_theta_phi_estimates,
        data_we_have,
        biomarkers,
        order_dict,
        n_participants,
        non_diseased_participants,
        hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts,
        diseased_stages,
        seed=None):
    """
    Get the DataFrame of theta and phi using the soft K-means algorithm for all biomarkers.
    Parameters:
        data_we_have (pd.DataFrame): DataFrame containing the data.
        biomarkers (list): List of biomarkers in string.
        order dict (dict): Dictionary mapping biomarkers to their order.
        n_participants (int): Number of participants in the study.
        non_diseased_participants (list): List of non-diseased participants.
        hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts (dict): Hash map of dictionaries contain
        diseased_stages (list): List of diseased stages.
        seed (int, optional): Random seed for reproducibility.
   Returns:
        a dictionary containing the means and standard deviations for theta and phi for each
    """
    # List of dicts to store the estimates
    # In each dic, key is biomarker, and values are theta and phi params
   hashmap of means stds estimate dicts = \{\}for biomarker in biomarkers:
```

```
dic = {'biomarker': biomarker}
```

```
prior_theta_phi_estimates_biomarker = prior_theta_phi_estimates[biomarker]
        theta_mean, theta_std, phi_mean, phi_std = calculate_soft_kmeans_for_biomarker(
            data_we_have,
            biomarker,
            order dict,
            n_participants,
            non_diseased_participants,
            hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts,
            diseased_stages,
            seed
        \lambdaif theta_std == 0 or math.isnan(theta_std):
            theta_mean = prior_theta_phi_estimates_biomarker['theta_mean']
            theta_std = prior_theta_phi_estimates_biomarker['theta_std']
        if phi_std == 0 or math.isnan(phi_std):
            phi_mean = prior_theta_phi_estimates_biomarker['phi_mean']
            phi_std = prior_theta_phi_estimates_biomarker['phi_std']
        dic['theta_mean'] = theta_mean
        dic['theta_std'] = theta_std
        dic['phi_mean'] = phi_mean
        dic['phi_std'] = phi_std
        hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts[biomarker] = dic
    return hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts
def calculate_all_participant_ln_likelihood_and_update_hashmap(
        iteration,
        data_we_have,
        current_order_dict,
        n_participants,
        non_diseased_participant_ids,
        theta_phi_estimates,
        diseased_stages,
):
    data = data_we_have.copy()data['S_n'] = data.appendy(lambda row: current_order_dict[row['biomarker']], axis=1)
    all_participant_ln_likelihood = 0
    # key is participant id
    # value is normalized_stage_likelihood_dict
   hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts = {} {}
    for p in range(n_participants):
        pdata = data[data.participant == p] \nreset_index(drop=True)
```
```
if p in non_diseased_participant_ids:
            this_participant_likelihood = utils.compute_likelihood(
                pdata, k_j=0, theta_phi=theta_phi_estimates)
            this_participant_ln_likelihood = np.log(
                this_participant_likelihood + 1e-10)
        else:
            # normalized_stage_likelihood_dict = None
            # initiaze stage_likelihood
            stage_likelihood_dict = {}
            for k_j in diseased_stages:
                kj_likelihood = utils.compute_likelihood(
                    pdata, k_j, theta_phi_estimates)
                # update each stage likelihood for this participant
                stage_likelihood_dict[k_j] = k_j_likelihood
            # Add a small epsilon to avoid division by zero
            likelihood_sum = sum(stage_likelihood_dict.values())
            epsilon = 1e-10if likelihood_sum == 0:
                # print("Invalid likelihood_sum: zero encountered.")
                likelihood_sum = epsilon # Handle the case accordingly
            normalized_stage_likelihood = [
                l/likelihood_sum for l in stage_likelihood_dict.values()]
            normalized_stage_likelihood_dict = dict(
                zip(diseased_stages, normalized_stage_likelihood))
            hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts[p] = normalized_stage_likelihood_dict
            # calculate weighted average
            this_participant_likelihood = np.mean(likelihood_sum)
            this_participant_ln_likelihood = np.log(
                this_participant_likelihood)
        all_participant_ln_likelihood += this_participant_ln_likelihood
    return all_participant_ln_likelihood, hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts
def metropolis_hastings_soft_kmeans(
   data_we_have,
    iterations,
   n shuffle,
):
    '''Implement the metropolis-hastings algorithm using soft kmeans
    Inputs:
        - data: data_we_have
```

```
- iterations: number of iterations
    - log_folder_name: the folder where log files locate
Outputs:
    - best_order: a numpy array
    - best likelihood: a scalar
\mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I} . \mathbb{I}n_participants = len(data_we_have.participant.unique())
biomarkers = data_we_have.biomarker.unique()
n_biomarkers = len(biomarkers)
n_stages = n_biomarkers + 1
non_diseased_participant_ids = data_we_have.loc[
    data_we_have.diseased == False].participant.unique()
diseased_stages = np.arange(start=1, stop=n-stage, step=1)# obtain the iniial theta and phi estimates
prior_theta_phi_estimates = utils.get_theta_phi_estimates(
    data_we_have)
theta_phi_estimates = prior_theta_phi_estimates.copy()
# initialize empty lists
acceptance_count = 0
all_current_accepted_order_dicts = []
current_accepted_order = np.random.permutation(np.arange(1, n_stages))
current_accepted_order_dict = dict(zip(biomarkers, current_accepted_order))
current_accepted_likelihood = -np.inf
for _ in range(iterations):
    # in each iteration, we have updated current order dict and theta phi estimates
    new_order = current_accepted_order.copy()
    utils.shuffle_order(new_order, n_shuffle)
    current_order_dict = dict(zip(biomarkers, new_order))
    all_participant_ln_likelihood, \
        hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts = calculate_all_participant_ln_likel
             \overline{\phantom{a}},
            data_we_have,
            current_order_dict,
            n_participants,
            non_diseased_participant_ids,
            theta_phi_estimates,
            diseased_stages,
```

```
# Now, update theta_phi_estimates using soft kmeans
# based on the updated hashmap of normalized stage likelihood dicts
theta_phi_estimates = soft_kmeans_theta_phi_estimates(
    \overline{\phantom{a}}prior_theta_phi_estimates,
   data_we_have,
   biomarkers,
    current_order_dict,
    n_participants,
    non_diseased_participant_ids,
    hashmap_of_normalized_stage_likelihood_dicts,
    diseased_stages,
    seed=None,
\mathcal{L}# Log-Sum-Exp Trick
max_likelihood = max(all_participant_ln_likelihood,
                     current_accepted_likelihood)
prob_of_accepting_new_order = np.exp(
    (all_participant_ln_likelihood - max_likelihood) -
    (current_accepted_likelihood - max_likelihood)
\lambda# prob_of_accepting_new_order = np.exp(
# all_participant_ln_likelihood - current_accepted_likelihood)
# np.exp(a)/np.exp(b) = np.exp(a - b)# if a > b, then np.exp(a - b) > 1# it will definitly update at the first iteration
if np.random.rand() < prob_of_accepting_new_order:
   acceptance_count += 1
    current_accepted_order = new_order
    current_accepted_likelihood = all_participant_ln_likelihood
    current_accepted_order_dict = current_order_dict
acceptance\_ratio = acceptance\_count*100/(-1)all_current_accepted_order_dicts.append(current_accepted_order_dict)
if (+1) % 10 == 0:
```
)

```
formatted_string = (
    f"iteration \{- + 1\} done, "
    f"current accepted likelihood: {current_accepted_likelihood}, "
    f"current acceptance ratio is {acceptance_ratio:.2f} %, "
    f"current accepted order is {current_accepted_order_dict.values()}, "
\lambdaprint(formatted_string)
```

```
# print("done!")
return all_current_accepted_order_dicts
```

```
n_shuffle = 2
iterations = 10
burn_in = 2thining = 2base\_dir = os.getcwd()print(f"Current working directory: {base_dir}")
data_dir = os.path.join(base_dir, "data")
soft_kmeans_dir = os.path.join(base_dir, 'soft_kmeans')
temp_results_dir = os.path.join(soft_kmeans_dir, "temp_json_results")
img_dir = os.path.join(soft_kmeans_dir, 'img')
results_file = os.path.join(soft_kmeans_dir, "results.json")
os.makedirs(soft_kmeans_dir, exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs(temp_results_dir, exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs(img_dir, exist_ok=True)
print(f"Data directory: {data_dir}")
print(f"Temp results directory: {temp_results_dir}")
print(f"Image directory: {img_dir}")
if __name__ == "__main__":
    # Read parameters from command line arguments
   j = 200r = 0.75m = 3print(f"Processing with j=\{j\}, r=\{r\}, m=\{m\}")
```

```
combstr = f''\{int(j*r)\}|{j}''heatmap_folder = img_dir
img_filename = f"{int(j*r)}-{j}_{m}"
filename = f''{combstr} {m}"
data_file = f''\{\text{data\_dir}\}/\{\text{filename}\}.csv''data_we_have = pd.read_csv(data_file)
n_biomarkers = len(data_we_have.biomarker.unique())
if not os.path.exists(data_file):
    print(f"Data file not found: {data_file}")
    sys.exit(1) # Exit early if the file doesn't exist
else:
    print(f"Data file found: {data_file}")
# Define the temporary result file
temp_result_file = os.path.join(temp_results_dir, f"temp_results_{j}_{r}_{m}.json")
\text{dic} = \{\}if combstr not in dic:
    dic[combstr] = []
accepted_order_dicts = metropolis_hastings_soft_kmeans(
    data_we_have,
    iterations,
    n_shuffle,
\lambdautils.save_heatmap(
    accepted_order_dicts,
    burn_in,
    thining,
    folder_name=heatmap_folder,
    file_name=f"{img_filename}",
    title=f'heatmap of {filename}')
most_likely_order_dic = utils.obtain_most_likely_order_dic(
    accepted_order_dicts, burn_in, thining)
most_likely_order = list(most_likely_order_dic.values())
tau, p_value = kendalltau(most_likely_order, range(1, n_biomarkers + 1))
```

```
dic[combstr].append(tau)
# Write the results to a unique temporary file inside the temp folder
with open(temp_result_file, "w") as file:
   json.dump(dic, file, indent=4)
print(f"{filename} is done! Results written to {temp_result_file}")
```

```
Current working directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook
Data directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/data
Temp results directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/soft_kmeans/temp_json_results
Image directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/soft_kmeans/img
Processing with j=200, r=0.75, m=3
Data file found: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/data/150|200_3.csv
```

```
/var/folders/wx/xz5y_06d15q5pgl_mhv76c8r0000gn/T/ipykernel_12345/1007084789.py:261: RuntimeW
 prob_of_accepting_new_order = np.exp(
```
iteration 10 done, current accepted likelihood: -4558.471198243365, current acceptance ratio 150|200_3 is done! Results written to /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/soft_kmeans/tem

8.2 Result

We plot the resulting S probalistically using a heatmap.

dic

```
{'150|200': [ -0.1555555555555553]}
```


Figure 8.2: Result of Soft K-Means

9 Estimate S with Conjugate Priors

The basic idea of using conjugate Priors to estimate S is:

- We first estimate distribution parameters using hard K-Means, the exact procedure we covered in Section [4.1.](#page-28-0)
- We first randomly assign each diseased participant a stage. Then, in each iteration, we use the conjugate priors algorithm to update θ , ϕ (refer to Section [4.2](#page-36-0)) and also k_j . We use [Metropolis–Hastings algorithm](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metropolis%E2%80%93Hastings_algorithm) to accept or reject a proposed S .

9.1 Implementation

```
import numpy as np
import utils
import json
import pandas as pd
import utils
from scipy.stats import kendalltau
import sys
import os
import math
import random
def estimate_params_exact(m0, n0, s0_sq, v0, data):
    '''This is to estimate means and vars based on conjugate priors
    Inputs:
        - data: a vector of measurements
        - m0: prior estimate of $\mu$.
        - n0: how strongly is the prior belief in $m_0$ is held.
        - s0_sq: prior estimate of $\sigma^2$.
        - v0: prior degress of freedome, influencing the certainty of $s_0^2$.
    Outputs:
       - mu estiate, std estimate
```
Algorithm 3 Metropolis-Hastings Conjugate Priors

- 1: **Input:** data with participant id, biomarker, and its measurement; n_{shuffle} : number of elements to shuffle
- 2: **Output:** all_accepted_orders
- 3: all_accepted_orders \leftarrow []
- 4: current_order \leftarrow permutation(np.arange(1, n_{stages}))
- 5: current_ll $\leftarrow -\infty$
- 6: participant_stages \leftarrow randomized_participant_stages()
- 7: for i in range (iterations) do
- $new_{order} \leftarrow current_{order.copy}()$ 8:
- 9: shuffle_order(new_order, n_{shuffle})
- $data \leftarrow update_data(data, new-order)$ $10:$
- $(\theta_0, \phi_0) \leftarrow$ get_prior_theta_phi(data) $11:$
- $(\theta, \phi) \leftarrow$ conjugate_prior_theta_phi(data, θ_0, ϕ_0) $12:$
- (all ln ll, participant stages) $\leftarrow f(\text{data}, \text{participant}_\text{stages}, \theta, \phi)$ $13:$
- $prob_of_accepting_new_order \leftarrow exp(all_ln_ll current_ll)$ 14:
- **if** np.random.rand() \langle prob_of_accepting_new_order then $15:$
- $\textit{current-order} \gets \textit{new-order}$ 16:
- $current_ll \leftarrow all_ln_ll$ $17:$
- all_accepted_orders.append(current_order) 18:
- end if 19:
- $20:$ end for
- 21: return all_accepted_orders

Figure 9.1: Conjugate Priors Algorithm

```
'''# Data summary
    sample_mean = np_mean(data)sample_size = len(data)
    sample_var = np-var(data, ddef=1) # ddof=1 for unbiased estimator
    # Update hyperparameters for the Normal-Inverse Gamma posterior
    updated_m0 = (n0 * m0 + sample_size * sample_mean) / (n0 + sample_size)updated_n0 = n0 + sample_sizeupdated v0 = v0 + sample sizeupdated_s0_sq = (1 / updated_v0) * ((sample_size - 1) * sample_var + v0 * s0_sq + ...(n0 * sample_size / updated_n0) * (sample mean - m0)updated_alpha = updated_v0/2updated_beta = updated_v0*updated_s0_sq/2
    # Posterior estimates
   mu_posterior_mean = updated_m0
    sigma_squared_posterior_mean = updated_beta/updated_alpha
   mu_estimation = mu_posterior_mean
   std_estimation = np.sqrt(sigma_squared_posterior_mean)
    return mu_estimation, std_estimation
def get theta phi conjugate priors(biomarkers, data we have, theta phi kmeans):
   '''To get estimated parameters, returns a hashmap
   Input:
    - biomarkers: biomarkers
    - data_we_have: participants data filled with initial or updated participant_stages
    - theta_phi_kmeans: a hashmap of dicts, which are the prior theta and phi values
        obtained from the initial constrained kmeans algorithm
    Output:
    - a hashmap of dictionaries. Key is biomarker name and value is a dictionary.
   Each dictionary contains the theta and phi mean/std values for a specific biomarker.
    \left| \cdot \right| + \left| \cdot \right|# empty list of dictionaries to store the estimates
   hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts = {}
    for biomarker in biomarkers:
        # Initialize dictionary outside the inner loop
        dic = {'biomarker': biomarker}
```

```
for affected in [True, False]:
            data_full = data_we_have[(data_we_have.biomarker == biomarker) \& (
                data_we_have.affected == affected)]
            if len(data_full) > 1:
                measurements = data_full.measurement
                s0<sub>sq</sub> = np.var(measurements, ddof=1)
                m0 = np.mean(measurements)
                mu_estimate, std_estimate = estimate_params_exact(
                    m0=m0, n0=1, s0_sq=s0_sq, v0=1, data=measurements)
                if affected:
                    dic['theta_mean'] = mu_estimate
                    dic['theta_std'] = std_estimate
                else:
                    dic['phi\_mean'] = mu\_estimatedic['phi_std'] = std_estimate
            # If there is only one observation or not observation at all, resort to theta_ph
            # YES, IT IS POSSIBLE THAT DATA_FULL HERE IS NULL
            # For example, if a biomarker indicates stage of (num_biomarkers), but all parti
            # are smaller than that stage; so that for all participants, this biomarker is no
            else:
                # print(theta_phi_kmeans)
                if affected:
                    dic['theta_mean'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['theta_mean']
                    dic['theta_std'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['theta_std']
                else:
                    dic['phi_mean'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['phi_mean']
                    dic['phi_std'] = theta_phi_kmeans[biomarker]['phi_std']
        # print(f"biomarker {biomarker} done!")
        hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts[biomarker] = dic
    return hashmap_of_means_stds_estimate_dicts
def compute_all_participant_ln_likelihood_and_update_participant_stages(
        n_participants,
        data,
        non_diseased_participant_ids,
        estimated_theta_phi,
        disease_stages,
        participant_stages,
):
    all_participant_ln_likelihood = 0
   for p in range(n_participants):
        # this participant data
```

```
pdata = data[data.participant == p].reset_index(drop=True)
"""If this participant is not diseased (i.e., if we know k_j is equal to 0)
We still need to compute the likelihood of this participant seeing this sequence of
but we do not need to estimate k_j like below
We still need to compute the likelihood because we need to add it to all_participant
"""
if p in non_diseased_participant_ids:
    this_participant_likelihood = utils.compute_likelihood(
        pdata, k_j=0, theta_phi=estimated_theta_phi)
    this_participant_ln_likelihood = np.log(
        this_participant_likelihood + 1e-10)
else:
    # initiaze stage_likelihood
   stage_likelihood_dict = {}
   for k_j in disease_stages:
        # even though data above has everything, it is filled up by random stages
        # we don't like it and want to know the true k_j. All the following is to up
        participant_likelihood = utils.compute_likelihood(
            pdata, k_j, estimated_theta_phi)
        # update each stage likelihood for this participant
        stage_likelihood_dict[k_j] = participant_likelihood
    likelihood_sum = sum(stage_likelihood_dict.values())
   normalized_stage_likelihood = [
        l/likelihood_sum for l in stage_likelihood_dict.values()]
   sampled_stage = np.random.choice(
        disease_stages, p=normalized_stage_likelihood)
   participant_stages[p] = sampled_stage
   # use weighted average likelihood because we didn't know the exact participant stage
   # all above to calculate participant_stage is only for the purpous of calculate
   this_participant_likelihood = np.mean(likelihood_sum)
   this_participant_ln_likelihood = np.log(
        this_participant_likelihood + 1e-10)
"""
All the codes in between are calculating this_participant_ln_likelihood.
If we already know kj=0, then
it's very simple. If kj is unknown, we need to calculate the likelihood of seeing
this sequence of biomarker
data at different stages, and get the relative likelihood before
we get a sampled stage (this is for estimating theta and phi).
```

```
Then we calculate this participant ln likelihood using average likelihood.
        """
        all_participant_ln_likelihood += this_participant_ln_likelihood
    return all_participant_ln_likelihood
def update_data_by_the_new_participant_stages(data, participant_stages, n_participants):
    '''This is to fill up data_we_have.
   Basically, add two columns: k_j, affected, and modify diseased column
   based on the initial or updated participant_stages
   Note that we assume here we've already got S n
    Inputs:
        - data_we_have
        - participant_stages: np array
        - participants: 0-99
    \bar{r} 's \bar{r}participant_stage_dic = dict(
        zip(np.arange(0, n_participants), participant_stages))
    data['k_j'] = data.appendy(lambda row: participant_stage_dic[row.participant], axis=1)
    data['diseased'] = data.apply(lambda row: row.k_j > 0, axis=1)
    data['affected'] = data.apply(lambda row: row.k_j >= row.S_n, axis=1)
    return data
"""The version without reverting back to the max order
\cdots "
def metropolis hastings with conjugate priors(
   data_we_have,
   iterations,
   n_shuffle
):
   n_participants = len(data_we_have.participant.unique())
   biomarkers = data_we_have.biomarker.unique()
   n_biomarkers = len(biomarkers)
   n_stages = n_biomarkers + 1
   diseased_stages = np.arange(start=1, stop=n_stages, step=1)
   non_diseased_participant_ids = data_we_have.loc[
        data_we_have.diseased == False].participant.unique()
    # initialize empty lists
    acceptance_count = 0
```

```
all_current_accepted_order_dicts = []
# initialize an ordering and likelihood
# note that it should be a random permutation of numbers 1-10
current accepted order = np.random.permutation(np.arange(1, n stages))
current_accepted_order_dict = dict(zip(biomarkers, current_accepted_order))
current_accepted_likelihood = -np.inf
participant_stages = np.zeros(n_participants)
for idx in range(n_participants):
    if idx not in non_diseased_participant_ids:
        # 1-len(diseased_stages), inclusive on both ends
        participant\_stages[idx] = random.random(1, len(diseased\_stages))for _ in range(iterations):
    new_order = current_accepted_order.copy()
    utils.shuffle_order(new_order, n_shuffle)
    current_order_dict = dict(zip(biomarkers, new_order))
    # copy the data to avoid modifying the original
    data = data_{we\_have.copy()data['S_n'] = data.append(y)lambda row: current order dict[row['biomarker']], axis=1)
    # add kj and affected for the whole dataset based on participant_stages
    # also modify diseased col (because it will be useful for the new theta phi kmeans)
    data = update_data_by_the_new_participant_stages(
        data, participant_stages, n_participants)
    # should be inside the for loop because once the participant stages change,
    # the diseased column changes as well.
    theta_phi_kmeans = utils.get_theta_phi_estimates(
        data_we_have,
    \lambdaestimated_theta_phi = get_theta_phi_conjugate_priors(
        biomarkers, data, theta_phi_kmeans)
    all_participant_ln_likelihood = compute_all_participant_ln_likelihood_and_update_participant
        n_participants,
        data,
        non_diseased_participant_ids,
        estimated_theta_phi,
        diseased_stages,
        participant_stages,
```

```
)
        # ratio = likelihood/best_likelihood
        # because we are using np.log(likelihood) and np.log(best_likelihood)
        # np. exp(a)/np. exp(b) = np. exp(a - b)# if a > b, then np.exp(a - b) > 1# Log-Sum-Exp Trick
        max_likelihood = max(all_participant_ln_likelihood,
                              current_accepted_likelihood)
        prob_of_accepting_new_order = np.exp(
            (all_participant_ln_likelihood - max_likelihood) -
            (current_accepted_likelihood - max_likelihood)
        \mathcal{L}# it will definitly update at the first iteration
        if np.random.rand() < prob_of_accepting_new_order:
            acceptance_count += 1
            current_accepted_order = new_order
            current_accepted_likelihood = all_participant_ln_likelihood
            current_accepted_order_dict = current_order_dict
        acceptance\_ratio = acceptance\_count*100/(-1)all_current_accepted_order_dicts.append(current_accepted_order_dict)
        # if \angle >= burn_in and \angle % thining == 0:
        if (_+1) % 10 == 0:
            formatted_string = (
                f"iteration \{- + 1\} done, "
                f"current accepted likelihood: {current_accepted_likelihood}, "
                f"current acceptance ratio is {acceptance_ratio:.2f} %, "
                f"current accepted order is {current_accepted_order_dict.values()}, "
            )
    return all_current_accepted_order_dicts
n shuffle = 2
iterations = 10
burn in = 2thining = 2
```

```
base\_dir = os.getcwd()
```

```
print(f"Current working directory: {base_dir}")
data_dir = os.path.join(base_dir, "data")
conjugate_priors_dir = os.path.join(base_dir, 'conjugate_priors')
temp_results_dir = os.path.join(conjugate_priors_dir, "temp_json_results")
img_dir = os.path.join(conjugate_priors_dir, 'img')
results_file = os.path.join(conjugate_priors_dir, "results.json")
os.makedirs(conjugate_priors_dir, exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs(temp_results_dir, exist_ok=True)
os.makedirs(img_dir, exist_ok=True)
print(f"Data directory: {data_dir}")
print(f"Temp results directory: {temp_results_dir}")
print(f"Image directory: {img_dir}")
if __name__ == "__main__":
    # Read parameters from command line arguments
    j = 200r = 0.75m = 3print(f"Processing with j={j}, r={r}, m={m}")
    combstr = f''\{int(j*r)\}|\{j\}''heatmap_folder = img_dir
    img_filename = f"{int(j*r)}-{j}_{m}"
    filename = f''{combstr} {m}"
    data_file = f''\{\text{data\_dir}\}/\{\text{filename}\}.csv''data_we_have = pd.read_csv(data_file)
    n_biomarkers = len(data_we_have.biomarker.unique())
    if not os.path.exists(data_file):
        print(f"Data file not found: {data_file}")
        sys.exit(1) # Exit early if the file doesn't exist
    else:
        print(f"Data file found: {data_file}")
    # Define the temporary result file
    temp_result_file = os.path.join(temp_results_dir, f"temp_results_{j}_{r}_{m}.json")
```

```
# temp_result_file = f"{temp_results_dir}/temp_results_{j}_{r}_{m}.json"
\text{dic} = \{\}if combstr not in dic:
    dic[combstr] = []
accepted_order_dicts = metropolis_hastings_with_conjugate_priors(
    data_we_have,
    iterations,
    n_shuffle,
\lambdautils.save_heatmap(
    accepted_order_dicts,
    burn_in,
    thining,
    folder_name=heatmap_folder,
    file_name=f"{img_filename}",
    title=f'heatmap of {filename}')
most_likely_order_dic = utils.obtain_most_likely_order_dic(
    accepted_order_dicts, burn_in, thining)
most_likely_order = list(most_likely_order_dic.values())
tau, p_value = kendalltau(most_likely_order, range(1, n_biomarkers + 1))
dic[combstr].append(tau)
# Write the results to a unique temporary file inside the temp folder
with open(temp_result_file, "w") as file:
    json.dump(dic, file, indent=4)
print(f"{filename} is done! Results written to {temp_result_file}")
```

```
Current working directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook
Data directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/data
Temp results directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/conjugate_priors/temp_json_results
Image directory: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/conjugate_priors/img
Processing with j=200, r=0.75, m=3
Data file found: /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/data/150|200_3.csv
150|200_3 is done! Results written to /Users/hongtaoh/Desktop/github/ebmBook/conjugate_prior
```
9.2 Result

We plot the resulting S probalistically using a heatmap.

		heatmap of 150 200 3										1.0	
Biomarker	$HIP-FCI - 0.0$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	$PCC-FCl - 0.0$	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.8	
	AB - 0.0	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	$P-Tau - 0.0$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0		0.6	
	MMSE - 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	1.0 ADAS -	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		Probability 0.4	
	HIP-GMI - 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	AVLT-Sum - 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0			
	$FUS-GMI - 0.0$	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.2	
	$FUS-FCl - 0.0$	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0			
	$\mathbf 1$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\overline{3}$	4	5	$\overline{6}$	$\frac{1}{7}$	٠ $\overline{8}$	9	⊤ 10		-0.0	
						Stage							

Figure 9.2: Result of Conjugate Priors

dic

{'150|200': [0.28888888888888886]}

10 Final Results

We only used 10 iterations in the previous three chapters to demonstrate how our algorithm works. However, to get them to really work, we need to run several thousand iterations.

Also, to cancel out noises and randomness, we need to use all the fifty variations of data.

With the help of [The Center for High Throughput Computing](https://chtc.cs.wisc.edu/) at the University of Wisconsin-Madison, we were able to run these tests. In the following, we present our results.

Notes:

This figure shows Kendall's Tau for different combinations of participant size and healthy ratio.

Each combination has 50 variants of datasets

The results are derived from our own implementation of hard kmeans based on synthetic data with 10 biomarkers. Number of iterations: 10000.

Figure 10.1: Results of Hard K-Means

Kendall's Tau values across different combinations in synthetic data (soft kmeans)

 $\overline{}$ 10% $\overline{}$ 25% $\overline{}$ $\overline{50\%}$

 $\overline{}$ 75% \Box 90%

Notes:

This figure shows Kendall's Tau for different combinations of participant size and healthy ratio.
Each combination has 50 variants of datasets
The results are derived from our own implementation of soft kmeans based on syn Number of iterations: 10000.

Figure 10.2: Results of Soft K-Means

Kendall's Tau values across different combinations in synthetic data (conjugate priors)

Notes:

This figure shows Kendall's Tau for different combinations of participant size and healthy ratio.
Each combination has 50 variants of datasets
The results are derived from our own implementation of conjugate priors based o Number of iterations: 10000.

Figure 10.3: Results of Conjugate Priors

From the two results, we are able to see that it is better to have more participants, because that offers more information for our models. In terms of healthy ratio, it seems 50% is a sweet spot.

Also, we notice that conjugate priors perform better than soft K-Means.

We also tested the two algorithms developed by [UCL POND group](https://ucl-pond.github.io/) with the same 750 datasets: [EBM Basic](https://github.com/ucl-pond/ebm) and [KDE EBM](https://github.com/ucl-pond/kde_ebm). See the following for results:

Notes:

This figure shows Kendall's Tau for different combinations of participant size and healthy ratio. Each bombination has 50 variants of datasets
The results are derived from UCL's EBM package based on synthetic data with 10 biomarkers. Number of iterations is 10000.

Figure 10.4: Results of EBM

The parameters we used in the package of [ebm](https://github.com/ucl-pond/ebm) are:

- n_iter = 10000
- greedy_n_iter=10
- greedy_n_init=5

More specific configurations can be found at https://github.com/hongtaoh/ucl_ebm/blob/master/implement/cal

This figure shows Kendall's Tau for different combinations of participant size and healthy ratio.
Each bombination has 50 variants of datasets
The results are derived from UCL's KDE_EBM package based on synthetic data with

Figure 10.5: Results of KDE EBM

The parameters we used in the package of [kde-ebm](https://github.com/ucl-pond/kde_ebm) are:

- n_iter = 10000
- greedy_n_iter=10
- greedy_n_init=5

More specific configurations can be found at https://github.com/hongtaoh/ucl_kde_ebm/blob/master/implement-

We can see that the performance of KDE EBM is more stable but EBM basic performs well when the healthy ratio is below 50%.

Neither of these methods had results as good as conjugate priors. We have to point out that, even though their accuracy is not as high, their speed is really high. Both of these two algorithms can generate results with a single laptop GPU in just one hour; however, it might take days for our algorithms.

References

- Babaki, Behrouz. 2017. "COP-Kmeans Version 1.5." [https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.831850.](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.831850) Chen, Guangyu, Hao Shu, Gang Chen, B Douglas Ward, Piero G Antuono, Zhijun Zhang, Shi-Jiang Li, Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative, et al. 2016. "Staging Alzheimer's Disease Risk by Sequencing Brain Function and Structure, Cerebrospinal Fluid, and Cognition Biomarkers." *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* 54 (3): 983–93.
- Clyde, M, M Cetinkaya-Rundel, C Rundel, D Banks, C Chai, and L Huang. 2022. "An Introduction to Bayesian Thinking: A Companion to the Statistics with r Course. 2020." [https://statswithr.github.io/book/.](https://statswithr.github.io/book/)
- Fonteijn, Hubert M, Marc Modat, Matthew J Clarkson, Josephine Barnes, Manja Lehmann, Nicola Z Hobbs, Rachael I Scahill, et al. 2012. "An Event-Based Model for Disease Progression and Its Application in Familial Alzheimer's Disease and Huntington's Disease." *NeuroImage* 60 (3): 1880–89.